C O N T E N T S

S. No.	Particular	Page No.
1	APR Summary	1 -2
2	General Information about the KVK	3 -7
3	Detail of Scientific Advisory Meeting	7 - 10
4	Details of District & Thrust Area	11 - 17
5	Programmes for Doubling the Income	18-20
6	Technical Achievements	21
7	Details of OFT	22-35
8	Details of FLD	36-51
9	Training Programmes	52 - 59
10	Extension Activities	60-62
11	Production of Seed and Planting Material	62-64
12	Soil Testing	65
13	Rain Water Harvesting System	66-67
14	Intervention on Disaster Management & HRD	67-69
15	Agriculture Technology Information Centre	70-72
16	Success Story	73-77
17	Important Events	78-84
18	Status Report – CFLD, CRM, NARI	85-116
19	Establishment of IFS Model	117-120

PROFORMA FOR PREPARATION OF ANNUAL REPORT (January-2019-December-2019)

APR SUMMARY

1. Training Programmes

Clientele	No. of Courses	Male Female		Total
				participants
Farmers & farm women	92	1468	352	1820
Rural youths	09	70	20	90
Extension functionaries	21	256	60	316
Total	122	1794	432	2226

2. Frontline demonstrations

Enterprise	No. of Farmers	Area (ha)	Units/Animals
Oilseeds	35	14.0	02 Buffaloes
Pulses	75	30.0	Mushroom Unit
Cereals	58	19.2	01 NADEP
Vegetables	86	8.62	01 Vermi Compost
Other crops (Mari Gold)	20	2.0	01 Honey bee (10 boxes)
Hybrid crops	0	0	02 Buffaloes
Total	274	73.82	
Livestock & Fisheries			
Other enterprises	30	0.1	
Total			
Grand Total	304	73.92	

3. Technology Assessment

Category	No. of Technology Assessed	No. of Trials	No. of Farmers	
Crops	10	62	32	
Livestock				
Various enterprises	2	15	10	
Total	12	77	42	

4. Extension Programmes

Category	No. of Programmes	Total Participants
Extension activities	861	11596
Other extension activities	15	-
Total	876	11644

5. Mobile Advisory Services

		Type of Messages						
Name of KVK	Message Type	Сгор	Livesto ck	Weather	Marke -ting	Awar e-ness	Other enterpris e	Total
	Text only	273	15	10	21	35	28	764
Meerut	Voice only	1321	8	28	10	385	190	3884
	Voice & Text both							
	Total Messages	1594	23	38	31	420	218	4648
	Total farmers Benefitted							

6. Seed & Planting Material Production

	Quintal/Number	Value Rs.	Distributed to No. of
			farmers
Seed (q)	240	268000	
Planting material (No.)	26500	6510	18
Bio-Products (kg) Honey production	12	2400	13
Livestock Production (No.) Fodder		116000	
Milk Production	1072 lit	48240	
Mushroom production (No.)	25 Kg	2500	45

7. Soil, water & plant Analysis

Type of Samples	No. of samples No. of Beneficiaries		Value Rs.
	analysis		
Soil	1231	3971	184650
Water			
Plant			
Total	1231	3971	184650

8. HRD and Publications

Sr. No.	Category	Number
1	Workshops	
2	Conferences	
3	Meetings	
4	Trainings for KVK officials	
5	Visits of KVK officials	
6	Book published	
7	Training Manual	
8	Book chapters	
9	Research papers	
10	Lead papers	
11	Seminar papers	
12	Extension folder	
13	Proceedings	
14	Award & recognition	
15	On going research projects	

DETAIL REPORT OF APR (Jan.2019 to Dec. 2019)

<u>1. GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE KVK</u>

1.1. Name and address of KVK with phone, fax and e-mail

Address	Telephone		E mail
	Office	FAX	
Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Hastinapur, Meerut	01233-280605	01233-280605	meerutkvk@gmail.com

1.2. Name and address of host organization with phone, fax and e-mail

Address	Telephone		E mail
	Office	FAX	
SardarVallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut	0121-2888522, 2888511	0121-2888505, 2888540	deesvpuat2014@gmail.com

1.3. Name of the Programme Coordinator with phone & mobile No

Name	Telephone / Contact				
	Residence	Mobile	Email		
Dr. Omvir Singh	09412109215	09412109215	<u>dr_omveer07@yahoo.in</u>		

1.4. Year of sanction: 1992

1.5 Staff Position (as on 30 April, 2019)

S N	Sanctioned post	Name of the incumbent	Design- ation	Discipline	Pay Scale (Rs.)	Presen t basic (Rs.)	Date of joining	Permanent /Temporary	Category (SC/ST/ OBC/ Others)	Mobile no.	Age (Yr)	Email id
1	Professor and Head	Dr. Omvir Singh	Professor and Head	Horticulture	37400- 67000	73730	07.01.2004	Permanent	OBC	9412109215	55	dr_omveer07@ya hoo.in
2	Subject Matter Specialist	Dr. P.S. Tiwari	Professor	Agri. Engg.	37400- 67000	46760	01.07.1998	Permanent	Gen	9412311560	51	drpsteng@gmail. com
3	Subject Matter Specialist	Dr Shiv Kumar	S.M.S/ Asstt. Professor	Agronomy	15600- 39000	36660	10.12.2003	Permanent	SC	9411263701	48	shivsvpuat@gmai l.com
4	Subject Matter Specialist	Dr.Rakesh Tiwari	S.M.S/ Asstt. Professor	Soil Science	15600- 39000	32980	21.06.2008	Permanent	Gen	9411820189	49	191rakeshtiwari @gmail.com
5	Subject Matter Specialist	Smt. VeenaYadav	S.M.S/ Asstt. Professor	Home Science	15600- 39000	29070	23.06.2008	Permanent	OBC	9457263482	49	veenayadav1020 @gmail.com
6	Subject Matter Specialist	Dr. Naveen Chandra	S.M.S/ Asstt. Professor	Entomology	15600- 39000	31070	23.06.2008	Permanent	OBC	9450803857	48	nchandra120@g mail.com
7	Subject Matter Specialist	Dr. Virendra Pal	S.M.S/ Asstt. Professor	Horticulture	15600- 39000	32980	20.08.2008	Permanent	OBC	9456662212	42	dvpgangwar77@ gmail.com

8	Programme Assistant	Smt. Vibha Sahu	Prog. Assistant	Computer	9300- 34800	72100	21.10.1999	Permanent	OBC	9410456174	45	vibhasahu.1@gmail .com
9	Programme Assistant	Dr. Ashish Tyagi	Prog. Assistant/ Farm Manager	Plant Protection	9300- 34800	49000	22.07.2008	Permanent	Gen	9837474493	41	green.ashsihtyagi@ gmail.com
10	Accountant / Superintende nt	Sh Amit Chaudhary	O.S. Cum Accountant	-	9300- 34800	62200	10.12.2003	Permanent	OBC	9761444004	38	amitsvpuat@gmail. com
11	Stenographer	Sh. M.N.Dimri	Stenograph er	-	5200- 20200	49000	05.09.2000	Permanent	Gen	9458610511	50	Dimri @yahoo .com
12	Driver	Sh. Amrish Sharma	Tractor Driver	-	5200- 20200	42800	01.07.1998	Permanent	Gen	9997889985	47	-
13	Driver	Sh. Upendra Kumar	Jeep Driver	-	5200- 20200	30500	02.08.2007	Permanent	OBC	9837194455	47	-
14	Supporting staff	Sh. Hari Das	Sweeper	-	5200- 20200	35300	01.07.1998	Permanent	SC	9760855760	45	-
15	Supporting staff	Sh. T B Ale	Cook	-	5200- 20200	34300	01.07.1998	Permanent	Gen	9997611921	54	-
16	Other(if any)	Sh. Amar Singh	Field Attended	-	5200- 20200	29600	13.12.1999	Permanent	OBC		51	-

1.6. Total land with KVK (in ha)

S. No.	Item	Area (ha)
1	Under Buildings	2.00
2.	Under Demonstration Units	1.00
3.	Under Crops	5.50
4.	Orchard/Agro-forestry	0.40
5.	Others (specify)	0.30

1.7. Infrastructural Development:

A) Buildings

		Source	Stage							
G	Nome of	of		е	Incomplete					
S. No.	building	funding	Completion Date	Plinth area (Sq.m)	Expenditure (Rs.)	Starting Date	Plinth area (Sq.m)	Status of construction		
1.	Administrative Building	ICAR	23.05.2009	510	54.88	-	-	-		
2.	Farmers Hostel	ICAR	30.06.2007	300	22.92	-	-	-		
3.	Staff Quarters (6)	ICAR	30.06.2007	400	26.72	-	-	-		
4.	Demonstration Units (2)	ICAR	30.06.2007	160	11.06	-	-	-		
5	Fencing	ICAR	30.06.2007	1000	13.77	-	-	-		
6	Rain Water harvesting system					-	-	-		
7	Threshing floor	ICAR	30.06.2007	300	2.34	-	-	-		
8	Farm godown	ICAR	30.06.2007	60	3.63					
	Soil Testing Lab	ICAR	30.05.2006	80	3.20					
		Total	138.52							

B) Vehicles

Type of vehicle	Year of purchase	Cost (Rs.)	Total kms. Run	Present status
Tractor	2017	5,20,000	200 hours	working
Jeep (Bolero)	2007	5,32,000	194154	Condemn
Motor cycle	1992	28,000	80000	Condemn

C) Equipments & AV aids

Name of the equipment	Year of purchase	Cost (Rs.)	Present status
Cultivator	2017	-	working
Disk Harrow	2017	-	working
Rotavator	2017	-	working
Ridge Maker disc type	2017	-	working
Seed dril	1993	-	Non-working
Seed cum fertilizer drill 11 tiyen	1993	-	Non-working
Trolly (Tractor)	1994	-	Working

:

Paddy Puddler (Cage Wheel)	1994	-	Working
Potato Planter	1998	-	Working
ThresserSonalika	1998	-	Working
Oven	1993	-	Working
LCD Projector	2007	125000	Working
Over Head Projector	1995	12000	Working
TV	1995	18000	Working
Disc Harrow (14 Wheel)	2006	27000	Working
DVD/CD Player	2007	2500	Working
Taka Machine (Chef Cutter)	2008	8700	Working
Computer	2011	20000	Working
Camera Sony	2011	11428	Working
Happy Seeder	2018	129950	Working
Chopper/Shredder/Mulcher	2018	147888	Working
Zero Till Drill	2018	53500	Working
Reversible M B Plough	2018	104950	Working
Cutter cum spreader	2018	51520	Working

1.8. A). Details of SAC first meeting conducted on 28.01.2019 A. Details of Participants:

A. Detail	s of Participants:	Total No. of Participants: 30			
S. No.	Name of Participants	Designation	Department		
1	Dr. Manoj Kumar	Joint Director	CPRS, Modipuram, Meerut		
2	Dr. Prem singh	Acting Director	IIFSR, Meerut		
3	Dr. Ashok Kumar Chauhan	СТО	CPRS, Modipuram, Meerut		
4	Dr. Satya Prakash	Professor (Horticulture)	SVPU.A.&T., Meerut		
5.	Dr. Gopal Singh	Joint Director Extension	SVPU.A.&T., Meerut		
6.	Dr, Nazim Ali	Professor & Head A.H.	SVPU.A.&T., Meerut		
7.	Smt Anuradha Sharma	Member/ Progressive Farm Women	Village- Ganeshpur		
8.	Smt Meera Devi	Member/ Progressive Farm Women	Village- Luccadhari		
9.	Smt Kavita Goyal	Member/ Progressive Farm Women	Village- Bheem Nagar		
10.	Smt. Usha Tyagi	Member/ Progressive Farm Women	Village- Ganeshpur		
11.	Sri K.P. Deshwal	Member/ Progressive Farmer	Village- Madhkalan		
12.	Sri Devendra Kumar	Member/ Progressive Farmer	Village- Modh khurd		
13.	Sri Jagat Singh	Teacher	Department of Education		
14.	Sri Anil Giri	A.H.I	Department of Horticultural		
15.	Sri Rakesh Kumar	Veterinary Officer	Animal Department		
16	Sri Narendra Singh Sirohi	ADO Ag	Director Extension		
17	Sri Jai Kumar	Additional Statitical Officer	Department of Horticultural		
18	Dr. Omvir Singh	Professor & Head	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut		
19	Dr. P.S. Tiwari	Professor (Agric. Engg.)	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut		
20	Dr. Virendra Pal	SMS/Assistant Professor(Hort.)	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut		
21	Dr. Shiv Kumar	SMS/Assistant Professor(Agro.)	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut		
22	Dr. Naveen Chandra	SMS/Assistant Professor(Plant Prot.)	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut		
23	Dr. Ashish Tyagi	Prog. Asstt./Farm Manager (Plant.	KVK, Hastinapur		
		Prot.)			
24	Smt. Veena Yadav	SMS/Assistant Professor(Home Sci.)	KVK, Hastinapur		
25	Smt. Vibha Sahu	Programme Assistant(Comp.)	KVK, Hastinapur		
26	Sri Amit Chaudhary	Accountant	KVK, Hastinapur		
27	Sri M.N. Dimri	Steno Cum/ Comp Operator	KVK, Hastinapur		
28	Sri Harpal Singh	Farmer	Village- Ghejha		
29	Sri Ved Pal Singh	Farmer	Village- Gejha		
30	Sri Kartar Singh	Manager- Navjivan Kisan Hetu	Village-Gejha		
		College			

(b) Recommendations of SAC held on January 28, 2019

S.N.	Recommendations
1	Training of nutrient management in Mango orchard should be scheduled in month of September.
2	Arpita variety of Mari gold may be replace by any short duration variety.
3	Wheat variety 3067 may be tested in OFT programmes .
4	Trainings/Titles should be framed according to season/time relevant.
5	PU-31 variety of URD should be taken in programmes.
6	Participation of female should be ensured in training programmes of all discipline
7	Training programme for Insect control in Mango should be conducted in the month of August, specially for shoot gall psylla
8	Mushroom production training programme should be incorporated in the action plan of plant protection discipline.
9	Training for storage should be scheduled in the month of April.
10	Adjustable stools for milching animal may be searched to demonstrate under home science discipline.
11	Activities of KVK should be followed up by taking farmers feed back.
12	Input Dealer training programme should be organized by KVK
13	Farmer exposure visit may be organized to potential field of production/processing
14	Balance use of fertilizer and integrated approach of farming should be promoted through KVK mandatory activities.
15	Possibilities of Kesaria Ginger production in the district may be explored
16	Location specific Mineral mixture formulated in veterinary college SVPUAT, Meerut may be promoted through KVK activities.





A. Details of Participants:

Total No. of Participants: 32

S. No.	Name of Participants	Designation	Department
1	Dr. Atar Singh	Director	ATARI, Kanpur
2	Dr. S. K. sachan	Director Extension	SVPU.A.&T., Meerut
3	Dr. Manoj Kumar	Joint Director	CPRS, Modipuram, Meerut
4	Sh. Brijesh Chandra	Deputy Director Agril.	Deptt. Of Agril. Meerut
5	Dr. Omvir Singh	Professor and Head	Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Meerut
6	Dr. Satya Prakash	Professor (Horticulture)	SVPU.A.&T., Meerut
7	Dr. S. K. Lodhi	Assisstant Professor	SVPU.A.&T., Meerut
8	Dr. Rajesh Kumar	Veterinary Officer	Deptt. Of Animal Husbanry
9	Dr. Lakhvinder Singh	Deputy CVO, Meerut	Deptt. Of Animal Husbanry
10	Sh. Sanjay Kumar	Lead Development Officer	Syndicate Bank, Meerut
11	Sh. Chaman Singh	Soil Conservation Officer	Deptt. Of Agriculture
12	Sh. Prabodh Kumar	Asssistant Director, Soil Testing	Deptt. Of Agriculture
13	Dr. P.S. Tiwari	Professor (Agric. Engg.)	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut
14	Dr. Rakesh Tiwari	SMS/Asstt. Professor (Soil Sc.)	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut
15	Dr. Virendra Pal	SMS/Assistant Professor(Hort.)	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut
16	Dr. Shiv Kumar	SMS/Asstt. Professor(Agro.)	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut
17	Dr. Naveen Chandra	SMS/Asstt. Professor(Plant Prot.)	KVK, Hastinapur, Meerut
18	Smt. Veena Yadav	SMS/Asstt. Professor(Home Sci.)	KVK, Hastinapur
19	Dr. Ashish Tyagi	Prog. Asstt./Farm Manager	KVK, Hastinapur
20	Smt. Vibha Sahu	Programme Assistant (Comp.)	KVK, Hastinapur
21	Sh. Amit Chaudhary	Accountant	KVK, Hastinapur
22	Sh. M.N. Dimri	Steno Cum/ Comp Operator	KVK, Hastinapur
23	Sh. Shodan Singh	Farmer	Village - Amhera
24	Sh. Tejvir Singh	Farmer	Village - Amhera
25	Sh. Mahendra Singh	Farmer	Village - Hastinapur
26	Sh. Jai Kumar Ydav	Farmer	Village - Ikwara
27	Sh. Kanshi Ram	Farmer	Village - Rahmapur
28	Sh. Dinesh Kumar	Farmer	Village - Rahmapur
29	Sh. Premkumar	Farmer	Village – Khoddayalpur
30	Smt. Kamlesh	Farm Women	Village - Hastinapur
31	Smt. Vidyawati	Farm Women	Village - Hastinapur
32	Smt. Meera	Farm Women	Village - Hastinapur

(b)	Recommendations	of SAC held o	on December	16, 2019
------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------

S.N.	Recommendations
1	Pest and disease management in potato should be taken programme.
2	IPM models should be tested in the field.
3	Farmers Producers Organizations to be formed for Mushroom and Bee keeping farmers.
4	Awareness programme should be conducted to manage mango hopper in mango belts.
5	Achievement of FLDs and OFTs must be presented with good quality photographs of the
	programme and site.
6	Technical feedback should be collected and send to the research programme.
7	Fast decomposer may be taken in demonstration programme.
8	Dal Badi making should be promoted through Self Help Groups for nutritional security.
9	Training schedule/ year planner to be shared with line department of the district.
10	AAS unit should be provided by the ICAR to the KVK for more accuracy in result and to
	reduce cost of testing.
11	Demonstration of pulses and oilseeds may be conducted with sugarcane crop as
	intercropping in case of unavailability of area for sole crop.
12	No need to conduct OFT to assess utility of revolving stool.
13	Demonstrations may be conducted for dissemination of technologies of Central Potato
	Research Station, Modipuram.
14	Training programme should be organized on management of blight in Potato





2. DETAILS OF DISTRICT (2019)

2.1 Major farming systems/enterprises (based on the analysis made by KVK)

SN	Farming system/enterprise
1	Cropping (Sugarcane- Ratoon -Wheat) + Live Stock
2	Crop Cultivation (Rice-Wheat) + Live Stock
3	Horticulture (Vegetable) + Live Stock
4	Horticulture (Flower) + Live Stock + Cropping

2.2 Description of Agro-climatic Zone & major agro ecological situations

S N	Agro-climatic Zone	Characteristics
1	Western plain zone	1. The zone includes districts of Muzaffarnagar, Meerut, Baghapat, Ghaziabad,
		Gautam Budh Nagar, Panchsheel Nagar, Bulandshahr and parts of Saharanpur
		located between the Ganga and Yamuna River and their tributaries.
		2. The zone is highly productive with light coloured loam soil. The average annual rainfall is 795 mm.
		3. Relative humidity range from 32 to 85% and the temperature ranges from 2.5° C to 43° C. Rice wheat sugarcane based cropping system is prevalent in the zone.

Situation	Soil Type	P ^H	Farming system	Major crops	Live stock	Block
AES I	Loam	7.5-8.5	Sugarcane-Ratoon-	Sugarcane,	Buffalo,	Mawana,
			Wheat, Agro forestry	wheat, Paddy,	cow,	JaniPariksheetgarh,
			and/or Jower-wheat	potato,	Poultry,	Machhra,
			(2-3 Graded	vegetable,	Sheep &	Kharkoda, Rajpura,
			buffalo/1 Cross	Jower	Goat	Meerut, Duaralla,
			bread cow)			Sardhana,
						Saroorpur, Rohta,
AES II	Loam	7.0-8.0	Sorghum-Potato-	Sugarcane,	Buffalo,	Hastinapur,
	Sand		Cucurbits and/or	Potato, Wheat,	cow,	Pariksheetgarh,
			Sugarcane-Ratoon-	Mango, Bajra,	Poultry,	Machhra,
			Wheat (2-3 Graded	Jower	Sheep &	Kharkhoda, Jani,
			buffalo/ 1 Cross bred		Goat	Rohta, Saroorpur,
			cow)			Sardhana
AES II	Sandy	7.5-7.9	Paddy-wheat and/or	Sugarcane,	Buffalo,	Hastinapur,
	loam, Silty		Jower-Wheat-	Paddy, Wheat,	cow,	Pariksheetgarh
	loam, Clay		Sugarcane –Ratoon-	Jower,	Poultry,	
	laom		Wheat (2-3 Graded	Vegetable	Sheep &	
			buffalo/ 1 Cross bred		Goat	
			cow)			

2.3 Soil type/s

SN	Soil type	Characteristics	Area in ha
1	Sandy	The soils have enough clay to store adequate amounts of water	Total -259000
	loam to	and plant nutrients for optimum plant growth. They contain	a) Cultivated Land-
	loam with	enough silt to hold sufficient available water for plants, to	2,00,000
	normal P ^H	gradually from more clay and to release fresh plant nutrients by	b) Forest area- 21314
		weathering. Clay content is not much as to cause poor aeration	c) Horticulture- 2266
		or to make working with them difficult. A soil containing	d) Other- 35420
		between 7 to 27% clay and approximately equal amount of silt	
		and sand has a loam texture. Organic content in the soil is 0.3 to	
		0.4%.	

2.4. Area, Production and Productivity of major crops cultivated in the district (2018-19)

SN	Сгор	Area (ha)	Production (M.Ton)/ha	Productivity (Qtl /ha)
1	Sugarcane	132624	122958363	927.12
2	Wheat	80507	384278	47.73
3	Rice	14.556	43.507	29.57
	Maize	0.214	0.542	25.33
	Barely	145	628	43.31
4	Oil seed: Mustard	6006	8403.00	13.99
5	Pulses			
	Urd	1.315	1.227	9.33
	Masoor	462	542	11.73
	Gram	12.0	16.0	13.33
	Moong	0.072	0.032	4.44
	Pea	751	1216	16.19
	Arhar	1.172		
6	Millet			
7	Potato			
8	Others (Bajra)	0.018	0.038	21.10

Category	Population	Production (Lt/day)	Productivity (Lt/day)
Cattle			
Crossbred	133279	1299470.25	9.75
Indigenous	76049	475306.25	6.25
Buffalo	567070	4820095	8.50
Sheep			
Crossbred	482	771.20	1.60
Indigenous	3490	7852.50	2.25
Goats	44353	66529.50	1.50
Pigs			
Crossbred	8947		
Indigenous	12388		
Poultry (Egg)			
Hens	85565		273 egg/year
Desi			79 egg/year
Improved (Dual Purpose)			167 egg/year
Turkey and others	2483		
Category	Area	Production	Productivity
Inland			33.00 q/ha

2.5. Production and productivity of livestock, Poultry, Fisheries etc. in the district

2.6 Weather data (2019)

Date	Maxi Temp(⁰ C)	Mini Temp(⁰ C)	RH morn (%)	RH evening (%)	Rainfall(mm)	Evaporation (mm)	Sunshine hours (h/day)
2019-Jan	20.9	5.1	94.6	55.1	65.5	1.71	4.86
2019-Feb	21.5	9.6	94.3	61.4	51.4	2.19	5.01
2019-March	27.0	12.2	94.6	47.9	10.6	4.16	8.62
2019-Apr	35.7	19.4	88.1	43.3	2.4	7.86	8.70
2019-May	39.0	21.7	74.5	35.5	9.0	11.2	9.2
2019-Jun	38.7	24.8	77.2	55.8	17.3	8.6	6.87
2019-Jul	33.6	24.5	92.2	71.9	301.8	3.67	3.9
2019-Aug	33.2	24.9	94.5	76.6	265.8	3.0	5.37
2019-Sept	33.4	24.0	94.9	70.9	24.0	3.0	7.17
2019-Oct	31.2	18.3	94.4	57.3	22.9	2.4	6.6
2019-Nov	27.0	12.4	93.4	51.7	10.8	2.1	4.71
2019-Dec	17.7	7.32	92.7	67.4	42.8	1.67	3.00

2.7 Details of Operational area villages (2019)

S	Taluk	Name of	Name of the village	Major crops	Major problem	Identified Thrust
N		the block		& ontorprises	identified	Areas
			Piplikhera, Kelli,	Sorghum,	• Late sowing of	• Intercropping with
		Kharkhoda	Gheza, KankerKhera, Ataula, Khandawali, Jhinjharpur, Nirpura	Potato Wheat, Mustard Livestock production (2-3-Graded buffalo / 1-Crossbred cow)	 Late sowing of sugarcane Low production of milk in Cow and Buffaloes Deficiency of miner elements and organic matter in soils Attack of white grub in sugarcane 	 Management Management of infertility and repeat heat in Cattle and Buffaloes Weed management in Daddy and Wheat
		Rajpura	Salarpur, Muzaffarpur Saini, Rajpura, Morna, Kastla, Mameypur,	Sugarcane, Pigeon pea, Potato &	 Reducing production area of pulses due to blue horse. 	 Balance use of fertilizer Crop residues
			Nihori Lawad	Wheat	• Red rot and grassy	management
1	Meerut	Daurala	Mahalka, Macchri, Rasoolpur, Walidpur, Panvari, Meetheypur, Andawali, Eloi, Daurala, Rassolpur	Wheat Mustard,	 shoot in sugarcane No use of Potash and micro elements in crops Low production of 	 Pest management in Paddy and Sugarcane Disease management in vegetable crops. Promotion of Oilseed
		Meerut	Chandsara, Alipur, Gagol, Phafunda, Fatehullahpur, Noornagar, TarapuriRasidnagar	S/cane, Urd, Rice Wheat	 old orchards Unorganized marketing system of agriculture produce Long dry period and infertility in milch animals Weed infestation in wheat. Depletion of ground water Insect attack in vegetables 	 and Pulses crops. Crop productivity enhancement in late sown wheat. Nutritional management among farm women and children Introduction of HYV/Hybrids in vegetables. Promotion of green manuring. Managements of Mango orchards.
	1	Sardhana	Mahadev, Kushawli, Begumabad, Nahli,	S/cane, Wheat,	• Late sowing of sugarcane	• Intercropping with sugarcane
	lhana		Pali	Vegetables, Flower	• Low production of milk in Cow and	• Soil health management
1	Sar	Suroorpur	Pawarsa, Ikdri,	-do-	Buffaloes	• Management of
		DI	PanchiBuzurg	<u>a</u> / 1	• Deficiency of miner	infertility and repeat
1		Rohta	Rohata, Arnavali,	S/cane, wheat		

			Rasana Shahanur jain		elements and organic	heat in Cattle and
			Dur.		matter in soils	Buffaloes
		Iani	Baffar Meerpur	S/cane	• Attack of white grub	• Weed management in
			MohammadpurDhumi,	wheat,	in sugarcane	Paddy and Wheat
			Khumbha, SiwalKhas,	mustard,	Reducing production	Balance use of
			NaglaKumbha, Bhola	paddy &Urd	area of pulses due to	fertilizer
			Ki Jhal		blue horse.	Cron residues
					• Red rot and grassy	management
					shoot in sugarcane	• Pest management in
					• No use of Potash and	Paddy and Sugarcane
					micro elements in	Disease management
					crops	in vegetable crops.
					• Low production of old orchards	• Promotion of Oilseed and Pulses crops.
					 Unorganized 	• Crop productivity
					marketing system of	enhancement in late
2					agriculture produce	sown wheat.
					• Long dry period and	• Nutritional
					infertility in milch	management among
					animals	farm women and
					• Weed infestation in	children
					wheat.	• Introduction of
					• Depletion of ground	HYV/Hybrids in
					water	vegetables.
					• Insect attack in vegetables	• Promotion of green
					vegetuores	• Mngt of Mango
						orchards.
			Jhal Ganashpur Saifpur	Sugarcane,	• Late sowing of	• Intercropping with
			MeewaMammudpur	Rice potato	sugarcane	sugarcane
			Latiffpur. Makannagar	Mustard.	• Low production of milk in Cow and	• Soli nealth
			Pali, Naglagusai, Rani	Chickpea,	Buffaloes	Management of
			nagla, Matora,	Urd, Moong	• Deficiency of miner	infertility and repeat
			BasturaNarang, Nagala		elements and organic	heat in Cattle and
			Chand, Sikhera,		matter in soils	Buffaloes
	na	Hastinapu	RathoraKhurd,		• Attack of white grub	• Weed management in
	wai	r	JoraJalapur, Seena,		in sugarcane	Paddy and Wheat
	Ma		Pampura, More Knurd,		• Reducing production	• Balance use of
3			MohammadpurSikhast		area of pulses due to	fertilizer
ĩ			Nagli, Karimpur.		blue horse.	• Crop residues
			Bhadrakali, Behsuma,		• Red rot and grassy	management
			Tarapur, Pandwan,		shoot in sugarcane	• Pest management in
			Makhdoompur,		• No use of Potash and	Paddy and Sugarcane
			KundaChetawala,		micro elements in	• Disease management
			BamnoliBadahuakheri,		crops	in vegetable crops.
			Latifpur, Bheemkhund		• Low production of	

	Geshupur, Bonda,	Sugarcane,	old orchards	• Promotion of Oilseed
	Kalirampur, Neemka,	Wheat	• Unorganized	and Pulses crops.
Parikshitgar	Khajuri, Dhanpura,	Rice, potato,	marketing system of	• Crop productivity
h	Jithola, Anwarpur,	Mustard,	agriculture produce	enhancement in late
	Kohla	Chickpea,	•Long dry period and	sown wheat.
		Urd, Moong	infertility in milch	 Nutritional
	Meewa, Assa,	Sugarcane,	animals	management among
	Matoura, Tatina,	Wheat	• Wood infastation in	form woman and
	Niloha, Pilona,	Rice, potato,	• weed intestation in	abildron
	Baizadka, Kunda,	Mustard,	wileat.	ciniuren
	AkbarpurGhari,	Chickpea,	• Depletion of ground	
	Bhaisa, Nidawali,	Urd, Moong	water	• Introduction of
	Tigri, Geshupur,		• Insect attack in	HYV/Hybrids in
Mawana	Sirjepur, Meerpur,		vegetables	vegetables.
Kala	AkbarpurShadat,		• Late sowing of	• Promotion of green
	Mubareekpur,		sugarcane	manuring.
	NagalaAjedi.		• Low production of	 Managements of
	NagalaHareur,		milk in Cow and	Mango orchards.
	Phalawada,		Buffaloes	• Intercropping with
	ChotaMawana,		• Deficiency of miner	sugarcane
			elements and organic	• Soil health
			matter in soils	management
	MaukhasHasanpur.	Crops.	• Attack of white grub	• Management of
	Kaili Rampur.	Vegetables.	in sugarcane	infertility and repeat
	Dabthala, Behlolpur,	Bee keeping	• Reducing production	heat in Cattle and
	Shahjahanpur,	1 0	area of pulses due to	Buffaloes
	Jun Fully		blue horse.	• Weed management in
			• Red rot and grassy	Paddy and Wheat
			shoot in sugarcane	 Balanco uso of
			• No use of Detech and	• Datalice use of
			• No use of Polasif and	
			crops	• Crop residues
				management
			• Low production of	• Pest management in
Machara			old orchards	Paddy and Sugarcane
			• Unorganized	• Disease management
			marketing system of	in vegetable crops.
			agriculture produce	• Promotion of Oilseed
			•Long dry period and	and Pulses crops.
			infertility in milch	• Crop productivity
			animals	enhancement in late
			•Weed infestation in	sown wheat.
			wheat.	 Nutritional
			• Depletion of ground	management among
			water	farm women and
				children

Priority Thrust Areas

S N	Crop/Enterprise	Thrust area
1	Doubling farmers income	Intercropping with winter planting sugarcane.
2	Mango orchards	Pruning, Training and rejuvenation of orchards.
3	Pulses	Promotions of pulses as intercrop with sugarcane and integrated diseases management.
4	Flower production	Promotion of floriculture.
5	Wheat, Paddy, Sugarcane	Improving soil health through balance fertilization and green manuring.
6	Cattle and Buffaloes	Controlling anestrous and repeat breeding in cattle and buffaloes, low milk production due to imbalance feeding in Milch animals.
7	Vegetable Crop	Enhancement of production potential in vegetable and IPM in vegetable.
8	Kitchen Gardening	Malnutrition among rural masses specially belonging to lower strata of the society.
9	Soil Health Management	Soil testing based fertilizer application and crop residue management
10	Resource Conservation	Judicious use and saving of water in agriculture

<u>2.9</u> Intervention/ Programmes for the doubling the farmers income – during 2019

Before Interventions	Main crop Yield(q/ha)	Inter crop Yield(q/ha)	Equivalent Yield(q/ha)	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)*	Gross Cost (Rs/ha)	Net income(Rs/ha)	Increase in net income (%)
Sugarcane as Sole crop	815.0	-	-	86500.00	256725.00	170225.00	-

Discussion: Irrigation, Fertilizers, Labour, Land Preparation, Seed, Plant protection (Weed, Pest, disease) * Sale price Rs.315/-

After	Main crop	Inter crop	Equivalent	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)*	Gross Cost	Net	Increase in net
Interventions	Yield(q/ha)	Yield(q/ha)	yield(q/ha)		(Rs/ha)	income(Rs/ha)	income (%)
Intercropping (Garden Pea with October sown sugarcane 1:1)	815.0	93.15	1406.42	118100.00	443022.30	324922.30	90.87

Discussion: Irrigation, Fertilizers, Labour, Land Preparation, Seed, Plant protection (Weed, Pest, disease) * Sale price Rs. 2000/-

Before Interventions	Main crop Yield(q/ha)	Inter crop Yield(q/ha)	Equivalent yield(q/ha)	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)*	Gross Cost (Rs/ha)	Net income(Rs/ ha)	Increase in net income (%)
Sugarcane as Sole crop	835.0	-	-	86500.00	263025.00	176525.00	-

Discussion: Irrigation, Fertilizers, Labour, Land Preparation, Seed, Plant protection (Weed, Pest, disease) * Sale price Rs. 315/-

After Interventions	Main crop Yield(q/ha)	Inter crop Yield(q/ha)	Equivalent yield(q/ha)	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)*	Gross Cost (Rs/ha)	Net income(Rs/h a)	Increase in net income (%)
Intercropping (Garlic with October sown sugarcane1:2)	835.0	131.53	1670.11	155000.00	526084.65	371084.65	110.38

Discussion: Irrigation, Fertilizers, Labour, Land Preparation, Seed, Plant protection (Weed, Pest, disease) * Sale price Rs. 2000/-

Before Interventions	Main crop Yield(q/ha)	Inter crop Yield(q/ha)	Equivalent yield(q/ha)	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)*	Gross Cost (Rs/ha)	Net income(Rs/ha)	Increase in net income (%)
Sugarcane as Sole crop	835.0	-	-	86500.00	263025.00	176525.00	-

Discussion: Irrigation, Fertilizers, Labour, Land Preparation, Seed, Plant protection (Weed, Pest, disease) * Sale price Rs. 315/-

After	Main crop	Inter crop	Equivalent	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)*	Gross Cost	Net	Increase in net
Interventions	Yield(q/ha)	Yield(q/ha)	yield(q/ha)		(Rs/ha)	income(Rs/ha)	income (%)
Intercropping (Potato with October sown sugarcane1:2)	835.0	251.70	1474.23	172100.00	464382.45	292282.45	65.57

Discussion: Irrigation, Fertilizers, Labour, Land Preparation, Seed, Plant protection (Weed, Pest, disease) * Sale price Rs. 800/-

Before Interventions	Main crop Yield(q/ha)	Inter crop Yield(q/ha)	Equivalent yield(q/ha)	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)*	Gross Cost (Rs/ha)	Net income(Rs/h a)	Increase in net income (%)
Tomato fresh sale	250	-	-	68200.00	150000	81800	-

Tomato sale price Rs.6/-kg

After Interventions	Main crop Yield(q/ha)	Inter crop Yield(q/ha)	Equivalent yield(q/ha)	Cost of Preparation (Rs/ha)*	Gross Return (Rs/ha)	Net income (Rs/ha)	Increase in net income (%)
Value addition of Tomato- Tomato Ketchup	250	12500 Kg	-	224450	875000	650550	695.29

Tomato Ketchup Sale price Rs.70/- Making Cost- Rs. 17.95/kg

Before Interventions	Main crop Yield(q/ha)	Inter crop Yield(q/ha)	Equivalent yield(q/ha)	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)*	Gross Cost (Rs/ha)	Net income(Rs/ha)	B.C: Ratio
Tomato fresh sale	250	-	-	68200.00	150000	81800	1:2.1

Tomato sale price Rs.6/-kg

After Interventions	Main crop Yield(q/ha)	Inter crop Yield(q/ha)	Equivalent yield(q/ha)	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)*	Gross Cost (Rs/ha)	Net income(Rs/ha)	B.C: Ratio
Value addition of Tomato- Tomato Puree	250	12500 Kg	-	318200.00	1250000	931800	1:3.9

Tomato Ketchup Sale price Rs.100/- Making Cost- Rs. 16 /kg

<u>3. TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENTS</u>

3.A. Details of target and achievements of mandatory activities by KVK during 2019

OFT (Technology Asses	ssment and	Refinement)	FLD (Oilseeds, Pulses, Cotton, Other				
				Crops/Enterprises)				
	-	1		2				
Num	ber of OFTs	Total	no. of Trials	Area in ha Number of Farmers				
Targets	Achievement	Targets	Achievement	Targets	Achievement	Targets	Achievement	
12	12	77	42	-	73.92	100	304	

Training (inc cari	luding spo ried under	nsored, vocation Rainwater Har	Extension Activities					
		3					4	
Number of Courses			Number of Participants		Number of activities		Number of participants	
Clientele	Targets	Achievement	Targets	Achievement	Targets	Achieve	Targets	Achieve
						ment		ment
Farmers		92	2000	1820				
Rural youth	100	09		90	500	861	5000	11596
Extn. Functionaries		21		316				
		122		2226				

S	eed Production	(Qtl.)	Planting material (Nos.)				
Target	Achievement	Distributed to no. of farmers	TargetAchievementDistributed to of farmers				
200	240	-	20000	26500	-		

Soil/plant/water Analysis									
5									
TargetAchievementNo. of farmers covered									
1200 1231 3971									

I. TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

Thematic areas	Сгор	Name of the technology assessed	No. of trials	No. of farmer s
Integrated Crop	Urd	Assessment of intercropping Sugarcane in Urd.	06	03
Management	Mustard	Assessment of intercropping Sugarcane in Mustard.	06	03
Integrated Nutrient	Wheat	Assessment of fertilizer dose in Wheat.	06	03
Management	Paddy	Assessment of fertilizer dose in Paddy.	06	03
Varietal Evaluation	Tomato	Assessment of Hybrid varieties of Tomato.	09	03
-	Mango + Turmeric	Intercropping of turmeric production with Mango orchard.	09	03
Integrated Pest Management	Paddy	Assessment of fungicides to control sheath blight.	06	03
-	Black Gram	Black Gram Assessment of insecticides to control white fly in Black Gram.		03
Small Scale Income Generation Enterprises & Nutritional Management	Pulses	Value addition of pulse and vegetables – Preparation of badi	10	05
Drudgery Reduction	Milching animals	Assessment the effectiveness of revolving stool to reduce drudgery while milching.	05	05
Resource Conservation	Sugarcane	Planting of Sugarcane by Trench method	04	04
Technology	Wheat	Sowing of wheat after incorporation of crop residue	04	04
	77	42		

Summary of technologies assessed under various crops by KVKs

I.C. TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT IN DETAIL

INTEGRATED CROP MANAGEMENT On Farm Trial –1

THEMATIC AREA: ICM

Problem definition: Low income

Technology Assessed: Assessment of profitability under intercropping of mustard in Sugarcane.

To assess the performance of intercropping of Mustard in Sugarcane. An On Farm Trial was conducted with two treatment as sugarcane as a sole crop and mustard as intercrop with sugarcane. By this time mustard has been harvested while sugarcane crop still is in the field. Mustard gave 12.75 qtls production in the system with Rs.50066.00 net profit/ha.

Table: Performance of Intercropping Sugarcane in Mustard

Technology Option	No. of trials	Yield (q/ha)	Increase in yield (%)	Cost of cultivation (Rs)	Gross income (Rs)	Net returns (Rs)	BC ratio (Rs)		
T ₁ : Farmer Practice (Single crop, Co-238)	06	Result awaited							
T _{2:} Sugarcane(Autumn) + Mustard (RH-749)		12.75	-	3484.00	53550.00	50066	-		

Sale rate of mustard : Rs. 4200/Qt.

Feed Back: It is expected that the production of mustard will be the extra without any adverse effect on productivity of sugarcane.



Farm Trial –2 THEMATIC AREA: ICM

Problem definition: Low yield of Sugarcane as single crop.

Technology Assessed: Assessment of profitability under intercropping of Urd in Sugarcane

Table: Performance of Intercropping Sugarcane in Urd

Technology Option	No. of trials	Yield (q/ha)	Increase in yield (%)	Cost of cultivation (Rs)	Gross income (Rs)	Net returns (Rs)	BC ratio (Rs)	
T ₁ : Farmer Practice (Single crop, Co-238)	06	Result awaited						
T ₂ : Sugarcane(Autumn) + Urd (PU-31)		14.35	-	30294.00	80360.00	50066	1:2.65	

Sale rate 5600 @/Q.



THEMATIC AREA: INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

Problem definition: Imbalanced use of nutrients in Wheat.

Technology assessed: Assessment of fertilizer dose in Wheat.

KVK Hastinapur (Meerut) has conducted an "On Farm Trial" entitled Assessment of fertilizer dose in Wheat(DBW-71) on the basis of soil testing compared with farmers practice. An appraisal of data collected, balance use of fertilizer i.e. N:P:K:Zn:S:Fe @ 120,60,60:30:40:25 increased yield upto 51.55 qt./ha. As compared with farmers practice produces 45.15 qt/ha.

Table: Assessment of fertilizer dose in Wheat

Technology Option	No. of trials	Yield q./ha	% age increased	Cost of Cultivation (Rs./ha)	Gross Return (Rs)	Net Return (Rs)	B:C Ratio
T ₁ - Imbalance use of fertilizers (Farmer practices) N:P: 150:60	_	45.15	-	45035	78561	33526	1.74
T ₂ -N:P:K:Zn:S:Fe@ 120,60,60:30:40:25	06	51.55	14.1	48519	89697	41091	1.84

Variety DBW-71 Sale price Wheat @ Rs. 1740 /qt

S.No.	Name of Farmer	pH	EC	OC(%)	P (Kg/ha)	K (Kg/ha)	Zn	S	Fe
							(Kg./ha)	(Kg./ha)	(Kg./ha)
1	Sh. Amrish	7.45	0.26	0.55	22.0	110	0.42	4.9	2.5
2	Sh Mangal	7.55	0.20	0.52	19.0	125	0.40	5.2	2.9
3	Sh Rishi Pal	7.40	0.22	0.47	20.0	119	0.44	6.1	2.2

Feed back: It is difficult for farmer of interior location to reach the soil testing laboratory.

THEMATIC AREA: INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

Problem definition: Imbalanced use of fertilizer Paddy .

Technology assessed: Assessment of fertilizer dose in Paddy.

KVK Hastinapur (Meerut) has conducted an "On Farm Trial" entitled Assessment of fertilizer dose in Paddy (Pusa-1121) on the basis of soil testing compared with farmers practice. An appraisal of data collected, balance use of fertilizer i.e. N:P:K:Zn:S:Fe @ 80:60:60:25:30:25 increased yield upto 43.25 qt./ha. As compared with farmers practice produces 40.10 qt/ha.

Table: Effect of balanced use of fertilizer

Technology Option	No. of trials	Yield q./ha	% age increased	Cost of Cultivation (Rs.)	Gross Return (Rs)	Net Return (Rs)	B:C Ratio
T ₁ - Imbalance use of fertilizers (Farmer practices) N:P: 100:60		40.10	-	49271	92230	42959	1.87
T ₂ - Soil testing based N:P:K:Zn:S:Fe@ 80:60:60:25:30:25	Soil testing based06P:K:Zn:S:Fe@0650:60:25:30:2506	43.25	7.85	50406	99475	83669	1.97

Variety- Pusa-1121, Sale price- Paddy@ Rs. 2300/Qt.

S.No.	Name of Farmer	pH	EC	OC(%)	P (Kg/ha)	K (Kg/ha)	Zn	S	Fe
							(Kg./ha)	(Kg./ha)	(Kg./ha)
1	Sh Amit Kumar	7.55	0.24	0.39	20.0	145	0.42	6.5	2.9
2	Sh Surjeet Singh	7.65	0.22	0.35	21.0	150	0.44	5.2	2.5
3	Sh Harjeet Singh	7.55	0.20	0.37	24.0	153	0.43	6.3	3.1

Feed back: It is difficult for farmer of interior location to reach the soil testing laboratory.

THEMATIC AREA: Varietal Evaluation

Problem definition: Low yield of tomato due to use of traditional varieties.

Technology Assessed: Assessment of Hybrid varieties of Tomato.

To assess the performance of hybrid varieties of tomato On Farm Trial was conducted to with 03 varieties of tomato under field condition. Data collected revealed that Pusa Hybrid- 2 was adjudged as better performer with 375.50 qt. production and Rs. 152500 net profit per ha. While other varieties under trial Raja and Pusa hybrid – 1 produced 305.0 and 362.50 qt. per ha., respectively.

Table: Performance of different Hybrid varieties of Tomato.

Tashnalagy Ontion	No. of	Yield	Increase in	Cost of cultivation	Gross income	Net returns	BC ratio
Technology Option	trials	(q/ha)	yield (%)	(R s)	(Rs)	(R s)	(R s)
T ₁ : Farmer Practice (Variety - Raja)		305.00	-	67500	183000	115500	2.71
T _{2:} Variety – Tomato Pusa Hybrid - 1	09	362.50	18.68	72500	217500	145000	3.00
T _{3:} Variety – Tomato Pusa Hybrid - 2		375.50	23.11	72500	225000	152500	3.10

Sale price of tomato: Rs. 06/ Kg.

Feed Back: Fruits of variety Tomato Pusa Hybrid-2 is medium in size, round, deep red after ripening with good keeping quality. It is suitable for processing and distance market.



On Farm Trial –6 THEMATIC AREA: Farm Management

Problem definition: Low yield of Mango orchard.

Technology Assessed: Intercropping of turmeric production with Mango orchard.

KVK Hastinapur in Meerut district conducted on-farm trial to assess or refined (as the case may be) effect of intercropping on net return in Mango orchard. The intercrop system of under planting of Mango at 12 miter planting distance and with growing turmeric between space of Mango area had realized a net return of Rs. 375200.00 as compare to the recommended practice with net return of Rs. 95500.00

Table: Production performance and economic parameters of different varieties of Turmeric

Technology Option	No. of trials	Yield Eqi. (q./ha)	Cost of Cultivation	Gross Return (Rs)	Net Returns (Rs./ha)	B:C Ratio
T ₁ -Use of Mango orchard ,Var. Dushahri (Farmer Practice)		68.5	41500.00	137000.00	95500.00	1:3.30
T ₂ - Use of Mango + Turmeric (Pant Pritabh)	09	158.5	69500.00	375200.00	305700.00	1:5.41
T ₃ - Use of Mango + Turmeric (Rajendra Sonia)		132.5	69500.00	335750.00	266250.00	1:4.83

Sale price of mango: Rs. 20/Kg.

Feed Back: To get more /additional income farmers are appreciating cultivating turmeric with mango as intercropping. The mango orchard was also found free from termite attack in the system and farmers got extra income without any adverse effect on mango crop.





THEMATIC AREA: INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT

Problem definition: High infestation of white fly resulting mosaic disease in Black Gram(PU-31). **Technology assessed:** Assessment of insecticides to control white fly in Black Gram.

KVK Hastinapur (Meerut) has conducted "On Farm Trial" entitled Assessment of insecticides to control white fly in Black Gram(PU-31) by comparing newer insecticide Spiromecifene @ 200 m.l./ acre with Monocrotophos @ 1000 m.l./ha 15 days interval as farmer practice along with Buprofezin @ 500 ml/ acre. at 15 days interval up to flowering stage. An appraisal of data collected, Difenthuron has quite edge over the chemical insecticides in terms of insect incidence, yield potential and economic returns.

Table: Effectiveness, yield and economic parameters of different treatments for the management of white fly in Black Gram

Technology Option	No. of trials	Insect incidence (%)	Yield q./ha	% age increased	Cost of Cultivation	Gross Return (Rs)	Net Return (Rs)	B:C Ratio
T ₁ - Spraying of Monocrotophos @ 1000 m.l./ha 15 days interval		12.5	8.50	-	36172	47600	11428	1:1.32
T ₂ - Spraying of Spiromecifene @ 200 m.l./ acre at 15 days interval	06	3.95	11.20	31.76	37300	62720	25420	1:1.68
T ₃ - Spraying of Buprofezin @ 500 ml/ acre. at 15 days interval		1.80	13.10	54.11	36900	73360	36400	1:1.98

Sale price of black gram: Rs 5600/qt.

Farmers Feedback: Buprofezin is easly available in local markets. It is highly effective to manage white fly in Black Gram







On Farm Trial –8 THEMATIC AREA: INTEGRATED DIESEASES MANAGEMENT

Problem definition: Low yield due to severe infestation of Sheath blight in Paddy (Pusa-1121).

Technology assessed: Assessment of fungicide to control sheath blight in Paddy.

KVK Hastinapur (Meerut) has conducted "On Farm Trial" entitled Assessment of fungicide to control sheath blight in Paddy(Pusa-1121) by comparing fungicides Pencycuron @ 800 ml/ha and Azostrobin @ 800 ml/ha 15 days interval with Carbendazim @ 1000 g/ha as farmer practice, two sprays at 15 days interval. An appraisal of data collected, Propeconazole has quite edge over other fungicide the being used as farmer's practice in terms of insect incidence, yield potential and economic returns.

Table: Effectiveness, yield and economic parameters of different treatments for the management of Sheath blight in Paddy

Technology Option	No. of trials	Insect incidence (%)	Yield q./ha	% age increased	Cost of Cultivation	Gross Return (Rs)	Net Return (Rs)	B:C Ratio
T ₁ - Two Spray of Carbendazim @ 1000 g/ha 15 days interval		13.60	39.0	-	37400	93600	56200	1:2.50
T ₂ - Two Spray of Pencycuron @ 800 ml/ha 15 days interval	06	8.50	45.15	15.76	37720	108360	70640	1:2.87
T3- Two Spray of Azostrobin @ 800 ml/ha 15 days interval		7.60	46.20	18.46	39300	110880	71580	1:2.80

Farmers Feedback: Azostrobin *is more effective but expensive in respect of net profit application of* **Pencycuron** *is cheeper and more profitable however both chemeical are significantly effective.*



THEMATIC AREA: Drudgery Reduction

Problem definition: Reduction of work efficiency and drudgery

Technology assessed: To assess the effectiveness of revolving stool to reduce drudgery while milching.

An on farm trial has been conducted to reduced drudgery reduced during milking of animal by sitting over revolving stool in comparison with traditional sitting position, on the basis of recorded data the technology was found highly acceptable and significantly reduced physical and bio mechanical stress and improved work output of the milking person.

1

Physical Stress

Incidence of muscular/skeletal problem during milking of animal with existing (squat position) and improved technology sitting over revolving stool

Body Parts	Existing (squat position)					improved technology (sitting over revolving stool)				
	Very sever Sever Mode Mild Low/No				Vsever	Sever	Mode ratre pain	Mild Pain	Low/No pain	
	pain	pain	ratre pain	Pain	pain	pain	pain			
Neck Pain			4	1				1	-	4
Shoulder Pain			3	2				-	2	3
Back Pain	1	3	1	-				-	4	1
Thumb Pain	-	-	1	4				-	2	3

Bio mechanical

Opinion	Existing (Re	espondent 5)	Improved(Respondent 5)		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Maintain comfortable body posture	-	5	5	-	
Twisting of trunk easily during activity	-	5	5	-	
Easy to synchronize with movement of animal	1	4	4	1	

Table -

2. Work Output

Opinion	Existing (Respon	dent 5)	Improved(Respondent 5)		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Tool is effective as per time cost	NA	NA	4	1	
Tool is effective in improving the production efficiency	NA	NA	1	4	

3. Tool factor

Opinion	Existing (Respon	dent 5)	Improved(Respondent 5)		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
The milking activity is light enough while using the revolving stool	NA	NA	5	-	
Height of the stool needs to be adjusted	NA	NA	3	2	

Feed back: Recommended technology of using revolving stool while milching is highly appreciated by farm women to reduce their drudgery.





THEMATIC AREA: HOUSE HOLD FOOD SECURITY

Problem definition: Nutrient inadequacy

Technology Assessed: Assessment of role of SHG for income generation through preparation from different pulses and vegetable Badi.

Preparation of Badi were assessed at different locations in comparison to often in practice. Badi with pulses & vegetable + spices was found better in respect of local practice. Badi with pulses & vegetable is more nutritional property, tasty, more self life and also add additional income .

Table: Performance

	No. of	Yield	Increase	Performance	Cost of	Gross	Net	B:C	
Technology Option	trials	(kg)	in yield (%)	Indicator	Performance	(R s)	return (Rs)	Profit (Rs)	Ratio
T ₁ - Farmer practice – Preparation of Badi from few pulses	10	1.5		Nutritive value Self life	Rich in protein & minerals Better keeping quality	120	150	30	1:1.25
T ₂ - Preparation of Badi from different type of pulses and vegetables.		1.5	-	Sale opportunity	Income Generating	210	390	180	1:1.8

FEED BACK: Remarkable acceptance of Badi due to readily availability, more nutritional property and help in income generation.



On Farm Trial –11 Resource Conservation

THEMATIC AREA: Planting of Sugarcane by Trench method

Problem diagnosed : Low yield of Sugarcane

Technology Assessed: Trench Planter was tested in field to enhance the productivity of Sugarcane

To assess the yield performance of Sugarcane Trench planter yield of Trench method of planting method gave 976 Q/ha, Whereas the ridge method of planting technique yield was recorded 825 q/ha. The net return was enhanced from 163825 to 205440 Rs. And B:C ratio was also recorded which was increased 1:2.7 to 1:3.01.

Table: Performance of different method of planting of Sugarcane.

Technology Option		Yield	Increase in	Cost of cultivation	Gross income	Net returns	BC ratio
	trials	(q/ha)	yield (%)	(R s)	(Rs)	(R s)	(Rs)
T ₁ : Farmer practice – Planting of Sugarcane by		825	_	96156	259825	163669	2.7
raiser	04						
T _{2:} Trench method		976	18.30	102000	307440	205440	3.01

Sale price of Sugarcane: Rs 315/qt.

Feed Back: The method of Trench planting was found better and given 50 thousand additional income/ ha

On Farm Trial –12 THEMATIC AREA: Sowing of wheat after incorporation of crop residue

Problem diagnosed : Low yield of Wheat

Technology Assessed: The crop residue of paddy incorporated in the field to enhance the productivity of wheat

To assess the performance of sowing of wheat after incorporation of crop residue by mulcher. On Farm Trial was conducted with 04 treatments under field condition. Data was collected 4.4 % more yields was obtained whereas about 4000 extra income was obtained. **Table: Sowing of wheat after incorporation of crop residue**

Technology Option	No. of trials	Yield (q/ha)	Increase in yield (%)	Cost of cultivation (Rs)	Gross income (Rs)	Net returns (Rs)	BC ratio (Rs)
T ₁ : Farmer practice – Sowing of without incorporation of crop residue	04	47.7	-	24500	87768	63268	3.58
T _{2:} Sowing of wheat after incorporation of crop residue by mulcher		49.8	4.4	25400	91632	65932	3.61

Feed Back: In treatment no, T2 recorded maximum yield as 49.8 q/ha which is more than 4.4% as T1 therefore farmers are happy to incorporation of crop residue. They are ready to repeat this process in next year also.




II. FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATION

List of technologies demonstrated during previous year & popularized during 2018-19 and recommended for large scale adoption in the district

	Cron/			Details of	Horizo	Horizontal spread of technology				
SN	Enterprise	Thematic Area	Technology demonstrated	methods suggested to	No. of	No. of	Area			
				the Extension system	villages	farmers	(ha)			
1	Urd	Varietal evaluation	Promotion of improved variety PU-31(NFSM)		10	25	10.0			
2	Urd	Varietal evaluation	Promotion of improved variety PU-31(NFSM)		15	30	12.0			
3	Lentil	Varietal evaluation	Promotion of improved variety PL-8(NFSM)		9	21	14.0			
4	Mustard	Varietal evaluation	Introduction of high yielding RH-749 (NFSM)		6	11	8.0			
5		INM	Use of Sulphur @ 40 Kg/ha.		12	130	48.0			
6	Paddy	INM	Application of Ferrous sulphate in Paddy @ 25 kg /ha	Demonstration,	04	19	9.00			
7	Wheat	Varietal evaluation	Introduction of high yielding timely sown variety HD-2967	Services	03	16	7.0			
8	Marigold	Varietals Evaluation	Popularization of improved variety Pusa Narangi		02	16	3.40			
9	Potato	Intercropping	Inter cropping of Potato variety Kufri Chipsona-3 (F1) with autumn planting of Sugarcane.		02	14	0.40			
10	Garlic	Intercropping	Inter cropping of Potato variety G-282 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.		04	24	12.0			
11	Garden Pea	Intercropping	Inter cropping of Potato variety PS-10 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.		02	23	6.4			
12	Parwal	IPM	Management of fruit fly in Parwal		02	12	5.4			
13	Paddy	IPM	Management of Srem borer of paddy through chlorantriliprole 0.4 %		04	20	10.0			

14	Sugarcane	IDM	Management of Pokkabowing dieseases	04	24	12.0
15	Tomato	IPM	Management of fruit borer by spinosd 45 %	05	25	12.0
16	Tomato	Value addition	Preparation of Tomato Ketchup & Puri	04	15	-
17	Kitchen garden	House hold food security	Demonstration of well planned Kitchen Garden (100 m^2)	05	15	0.15
18	Ag. Engg.	Resource Conservation	Use of Power sprayer for spraying of insecticides in Paddy crop	04	10	4.0

b. Details of FLDs implemented during year 2019

SN	Crop/	Thematic area	Technology Demonstrated	Season / year	Area (ha)	N d	No. of farmers/ demonstration			
	Enterprise					SC/ST	Others	Total		
Puls	ses									
1	Urd	Varietal evaluation	Promotion of improved variety PU-31(NFSM)	Kharif 2019	10.0	06	19	25		
2	Lentil	Varietal evaluation	Promotion of improved variety PL-8(NFSM)	Rabi 2018-19	10.0	05	20	25		
3	Lentil	Varietal evaluation	Promotion of improved variety PL-8(NFSM)	Rabi 2019-20	10.0	05	20	25		
Oils	eeds						•			
4	Mustard	Varietal evaluation	Introduction of high yielding RH-749 (NFSM)	Rabi 2018-19	10.0	03	22	25		
5		INM	Use of Sulphur @ 40 Kg/ha.	Rabi 2018-19	4.0	03	07	10		
Oth	er crop	·		•						
6	Paddy	INM	Application of Ferrous sulphate in Paddy @ 25 kg /ha	Kharif 2019	4.0	01	09	10		
7	Wheat	Varietal evaluation	Introduction of high yielding timely sown variety HD-2967 (Post office)	Rabi 2018-19	1.20	-	03	03		
8	Marigold	Varietals Evaluation	Popularization of improved variety Pusa Narangi	Rabi- 2018-19	1.00	02	08	10		
9	Garlic	Varietals Evaluation	Inter cropping of Garlic variety G-282 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	Rabi- 2019	0.40	04	01	05		

10	Garden Pea	Varietals Evaluation	Inter cropping of Potato variety PS-10 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	Rabi 2019	0.40	04	01	05
11	Potato	Varietals Evaluation	Inter cropping of Potato variety Kufri Chipsona-1 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	Rabi 2019	0.40	03	02	05
12	Marigold	Varietals Evaluation	Popularization of improved variety Pusa Narangi	Rabi- 2019-20	1.00	02	08	10
13	Potato	Varietals Evaluation	Popularization of improved variety Kufri Mohan and Kufri Surya	Rabi 2019-20	0.4	05	-	05
14	Potato	Varietals Evaluation	Seed production of improved variety Kufri Mohan under insect free net house	Rabi 2019-20	0.02	01	-	01
15	Potato	Varietals Evaluation	Inter cropping of Potato variety Kufri Chipsona-1 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	Rabi- 2019-20	1.6	-	45	45
16	Garden Pea	Varietals Evaluation	Inter cropping of Potato variety PS-10 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	Rabi- 2019-20	0.40	-	05	05
17	Paddy	IPM	Management of Srem borer of paddy through chlorantriliprole 0.4 %	Kharif 2019	2.0	2	8	10
18	Sugarcane	IDM	Management of Pokkabowing dieseases	Rabi 2018-19	2.0	3	7	10
19	Parwal	IPM	Management of fruit fly in Parwal	Kharif 2019	4.0	2	8	10
20	Tomato	IPM	Management of fruit borer by spinosad 45 %	Rabi 2019-20	1.0	-	5	5
21	Tomato	Value addition	Preparation of Tomato Ketchup	Kharif 2019	0	5	5	10
22	Tomato	Value addition	Preparation of Tomato Puree	Kharif 2019	0	5	5	10
23	Kitchen garden	House hold food security	Demonstration of well planned Kitchen Garden (100 m ²)	Rabi 2018-19	0.1	3	7	10
24	Paddy	Resource Conservation	Use of Power sprayer for spraying of insecticides in Paddy crop	Kharif- 2018	4.0	3	7	10
25	Wheat	Resource Conservation	Sowing of wheat by Happy seeder	Rabi 2018-19	6.0	5	10	15
			Total		73.92			304

Details of farming situation

Cron	Saasan	Farming	Soil type	Sta	atus of s	oil	Previous	Sowing data	Harvest	Seasonal	No. of
Стор	Season	(RF/Irrigated)	Son type	Ν	Р	K	crop	Sowing date	date	(mm)	days
					Pulses	:					
Urd (NFSM)	Kharif 2019	Irrigated	Sandy loam	174	35	211	Sugarcane	20-07-19 to 06-08-2019	16-10-19 to 09-11-19	74.1	14
Lentil (NFSM)	Rabi 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy loam	187	24	217	Paddy, Jowar	15-11-18 to 13-12-18	20.03.2019 to 15.04.2019	21.2	6
Lentil (NFSM)	Rabi 2019-20	Irrigated	Sandy loam	187	24	217	Paddy, Jowar	15-11-19 to 13-12-19	-	21.2	6
				•	Oilseed	ls					
Mustard (NFSM)	Rabi 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy loam	129	29	257	Jowar	11-10-18	22-03-19to 30-03-19	16.8	3
Mustard	Rabi 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy loam	165	28	228	Jowar	10-30 Oct. .2018	22Feb 25Mar. 2019	16.8	3
	·	·			Cereal	l		·			
Paddy (Pusa-1)	Khairf 2019	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	178	32	227	Sorghum	21-07-19	25-10-19 to 10-11-19	401.7	29
Wheat (HD-2967)	Rabi 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy loam	208	29	218	Sorghum (Fodder)	22 Nov., 2018	25-4-19	21	6

Commercial crops												
Horticultural cro	ps											
Garlic	Rabi- 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	173	28	227	Dhaincha	23.10.2018	12.03.2019	183.7	21	
Garden Pea	Rabi 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	246	35	228	Paddy	16.10.2018	20.02.2019	51.1	11	
Potato	Rabi 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	184	23	190	Brinjal	06.10.2018	28.02.2019	45.1	11	
Marigold	Rabi- 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	158	19	188	Brinjal	13.10.2018	07.03.2019	42.6	14	
Marigold Rabi- 2019-20 Irrigated		Irrigated	Sandy Loam	148	18	187	Fodder	10.10.2019	-	-	-	
Potato	Rabi 2019-20	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	151	19	186	Okra	14.10.2019				
Potato	Rabi 2019-20	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	157	21	192	Okra	12.10.2019				
Potato	Rabi- 2019-20	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	155	23	185	Okra	20.10.2019				
Garden Pea												
Plant Protection			·									
Paddy	Kharif 2019	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	174	27	221	Potato	17.03.2019	08.07.2019	173.7	19	
Sugarcane	Rabi 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	209	34	229	Jowar	22.09.2019	28.11.2019	27.2	11	
Parwal	Kharif 2019	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	239	25	120	Paddy	12.03.2019	18.08.2019	21.2	4	
Tomato	Rabi 2019-20	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	209	34	229	Jowar	22.10.2019	Continuous	27.2	11	
Kitchen gardenin	g											
Kitchen	Rabi 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	165	28	228	NA	26.10.2018	28.10.2018	355.9	27	
garden									to Feb.			
									2019			
Agri. Engg												
Paddy	Kharif 2019	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	186	32	227	NA	05.07.19	23.10.19	411.2	29	
Wheat	Rabi 2018-19	Irrigated	Sandy Loam	176	29	224	NA	25.10.2018	27.04.19	42.5	11	

SN	Crop/ Animal	Feed Back
1	Urd (NFSM)	Variety PU-31 is susceptible to mosaic disease. Production of PU-31 variety is 24.85% higher over check var.
2	Lentil (NFSM)	Wilting disease appeared in some fields just after irrigation and highly damaged by blue bulls at the stage of pod formation. Production of PL-8
		variety is 11.26% higher over check var.
3	Mustard	An application of sulphur 40 kg/ha. Resulted 9.7 % more yield along with little bit higher oil content in the mustard grains in the same variety
		RH-749
4	Paddy	An application of Feerous Sulphate @25 kg/ha. Resulted 5.81 % more yield and good market value.
5	Wheat	HD- 2967 varieties observed under demonstration over locally grown variety. Rust disease did not appear in the variety while Aphid attacks at
		milking stage.
6	Marigold	Near about three times more yield was adjudged through Pusa Narangi hybrid variety in comparison to local variety Jafri and market demand is
	Marigolu	higher due to attractive color, size, good keeping quality and compactness of flower.
7	Garlic	G – 282 variety is particularly recommended for inercropping with autumn sugarcane.
8	Garden Pea	Variety PS – 10 gave additional income and also causes nitrogen fixation in soil resulting less use of urea.
9	Potato	Early maturity & low starch value so it has a demand for chips industry.
10	Parwal	Use of bio agents as Installation of 05 traps /acre were proved very effected and feasible for the management of fruit fly in parwal and give 11.58
	i ui wui	% increase in yield.
11	Paddy	Chlorantraniliprole was found very effective to control stem borer 12.85 % increased yield.
12	Sugarcane	An increase 12.85 % increase in yield of Sugarcane was recorded after application of spraying of blitox 50@ 3kg./ha to control pokkabowing.
13	Tomato	Value addition of Tomato through preparation of ketchup & Puri increased gradational income as compared to direct selling of Tomato in local
		market.
14	Kitchen Garden	Under the demonstration on household food security the respondents are getting fresh and potable green seasonal vegetables throughout the year.
		In addition to this, a handsome amount is being saved by using the home produced vegetables. Farm women were very much happy by getting plenty of vegetable and fruits.

Technical Feedback on the demonstrated technologies

15	Paddy	Power sprayer was demonstrated on farmers field in paddy crop for even spraying of insecticide/ pesticides for better control of insects and
		diseases
16	Wheat	Line sowing of wheat to increases the yield of wheat by seed drill.

Farmers' reactions on specific technologies

S. No	Сгор	Feed Back
1	Urd	Severe infestation of YVM.
2	Lentil	Production of demonstrated variety is significantly higher than their local variety.
3	Mustard	Mustard is persuading as a good oil seed crop & farmers are keen to incorporation as a rabi crop in existing sugarcane based cropping system. Easy availability and cheaper technology favors its adoption among farmers.
4	Mustard	Sulpher is easily available in local market and cheaper technology to increase oil content resulting higher income.
5	Paddy	Application of Ferrous Sulphate gave good results it reflects In productivity as well as checks in rice.
6	Paddy	Chlorantraniliprole was found very effective to control stem borer and found very effective and economic to control stem borer in rice.
7	Wheat	Farmers found variety HD-2967 gives good yield in late sown condition and there is no rust disease found in the field.
8	Marigold	The yield performance of Pusa narangi was highly appreciated due to its standard size of flowers, attractive color and market value. Thereby the same is getting space among the farming community.
9	Potato	Due to medium and manageable size, softness, darkness in color and market price acceptance is better.
10	Garlic	It is evident that the intercropping of Garlic with antumn planted Sugarcane is more profitable as well as reduce incidence of insect in S.cane
11	Vegetable Pea	Sowing of garden pea with sugarcane decreased the gross cost of cultivation as use of urea is almost half.
12	Parwal	Application of traps is feasible for the management of fruit fly in parwal and easily available in the market.
13	Tomato	Its gives longer protection against white fly while chemical control causes resistance, proved expenses and needed repeatedly
14	Sugarcane	Application of spraying of blitox 50 to control pokkabowing. resulting higher yield.
15	Tomato	Ketchup & Puree is easy to prepare and proved a viable technology to get additional income by selling it in nearby market and get better price.
16	Kitchen Garden	Farmers enjoyed the sufficient, chemical free, cheaper and quality green fresh vegetables for almost throughout the year.
17	Paddy	Farmer are using power sprayer for better control of insects. It also reduced the drudgery and improve the efficiency of the labour.

18	Wheat	By use of seed drill enhancement of yield and control of lodging. Therefore farmers are liking the seed drill.
----	-------	--

Performance of Frontline demonstrations

Pulse crops

Сгор	Thematic	Technology		No. of	Area (ha)	Yield (q/ha)			% Economics of demonstration Increas (Rs./ha)				ration	Economics of check (Rs./ha)				
Сгор	Area	demonstrated	Variety	No. of Farmers			Demo		Check	e in	Gross	Gross	Net	BCR	Gross	Gross	Net	BCR
						High	Low	Average		yield	Cost	Return	Return	(R /C)	Cost	Return	Return	
Urd (Kharif- 2019)	Varietal evaluation	Popularizati on of improved variety	PU-31	25	10.0	11.65	9.80	10.72	8.65	23.93	36172	60032	32860	1.65	32363	48446	16077	1.49
Lentil (Rabi- 2018- 19)	Integrated Crop Management	Scientific Production of Lentil variety- PL-8	PL-8	25	10.0	15.45	12.60	14.02	12.6	11.26	40900	70100	29200	1.71	41300	63000	21700	1.53
Lentil (Rabi- 2019- 20)	Integrated Crop Management	Scientific Production of Lentil variety- PL-8	PL-8	25	10.0	14.35	12.15	13.25	11.35	16.74	38290	59293	21003	1.54	37425	50791	13266	1.35

* Sale price – Urd @ 5600/qtl. Lentil @ 5000/qtl CFLD Black Gram (Kharif-2019)







Oilseed crops

	Thematic	Technology demonstrated	Variety	No. of Farme rs	Area		Yield (q/ha)			% Increa	Economics of demonstration (Rs./ha)				Economics of check (Rs./ha)			
Сгор	Area				(ha)	High	Dem	0 Average	Check	se in yield	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	BCR (R/C)	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	BCR (R/C)
Mustard (2018-19)	Varietal evaluation	Popularizatio n of improved variety	RH-749	25	10.0	18.75	14.50	18.62	14.7	26.66	23245	78204	54959	3.36	22630	61740	39110	2.27
Mustard (2018-19)	INM	Use of Sulphur @ 40 Kg/ha.	RH-749	10	4.0	18.25	12.25	17.58	13.37	31.48	22032	73836	51804	3.35	21998	56154	34156	2.55

* Sale price of Mustard: @ Rs 4200/ Qt.





CFLDs (Mustard)

FLD on Other crops:

Crop	Thematic	Name of the technology	No. of	Area		Yield	(q/ha)		% increase	Econor	nics of o (Rs./	demons /ha)	tration	Econ	omics of	check (Rs./ha)
Сгор	Area	Name of the technology	Farmers	(ha)	1.12 sets	Demo		Check	in Yield	Gross	Gross	Net	BCR	Gross	Gross	Net	BCR
					High	LOW	AV.			COST	Return	Return		Cost	Return	Return	
Paddy	INM	Application of Ferrous															
(Pusa-1)		sulphate in Paddy @	10	4.0	48.25	43.15	45.69	43.18	5.18	55573	105087	49514	1.89	54198	90678	36480	1.67
		25kg /ha															
Wheat	Varietal	Improved variety HD-	02	10	E2 25	16.25	40.2	42.05	14 70	24245	05700	E1427	2.50	26215	74722	20510	2.06
(PO)	Evaluation	2967	05	1.2	52.25	40.55	49.5	42.95	14.70	34343	00702	51457	2.50	30215	14133	30310	2.00
Mari gold	Varietal Evaluation	Popularization of improved variety Pusa Narangi	10	1.0	192.0	145.2	168.6	128.0	31.71	48500	202320	153820	4.17	41200	153600	112400	3.73

* Sale price -Wheat@ Rs1740/qt, Paddy@ Rs. 2300/ Qt., Marigold : @ Rs 1200/qtl,





	ть				Equiv	valent Yield	(q/ha)	E	conomics o	of demo. Rs	s./ha)		Econo	omics of c	heck (Rs.	/ha)
Сгор	em etic	Name of the technology	No. of Farmers	Area (ha)	Main crop (Q/ha.)	Enter crop (Q/ha.)	Av. Yield (Q/ha.)	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	LER	BCR (R/C)	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	BCR (R/C)
Garli c	ICM	Inter cropping of Garlic variety G-282 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	05	0.4	835.0	131.53	1670.11	155000.0	526084.0	371084.0	1:3.39	2-00	86500-00	263025.0	176525. 0	1:3.04
Garde n Pea	ICM	Inter cropping of Garden pea variety PS-10 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	05	0.4	815.0	93.15	1406.42	118100.00	443022.00	324922.00	1:3.75	1-72	86500-00	256725.0	170225. 0	1:2.96
Potat o	ICM	Inter cropping of Potato variety Kufri Chipsona- 1 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	05	0.4	835.0	251.7	1474.23	172100.00	464382.45	292282.45	1:2.69	1-76	86500-00	263025.0	176525. 0	1:3.04
Marig old	VE	Popularization of improved variety Pusa Narangi	10	1.0					Res	sult awaited	l					
Pot ato	VE	Popularization of improved variety Kufri Mohan and Kufri Surya	05	0.4					Res	ult awaited						

Pot	VE	Seed production of improved variety Kufri Mohan under	01	0.02	Result awaited
uto		insect free net house			
Pota to	ICM	Inter cropping of Potato variety Chipsona-1 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	45	1-6	Result awaited
Garde n Pea	ICM	Inter cropping of Garden pea variety PS-10 with autumn planting of Sugarcane.	05	0.4	Result awaited



Sale price Potato @ 800, Garlic @ 2000, Garden Pea @ 1500

Category	Thematic		No. of	Area		Yield (q/ha)		%	Econ	omics of	demo. Rs	s./ha)	Econ	omics of	check (Rs.	./ha)
& Crop	Area	Name of the technology	Farmers	(ha)		Demo		Check	Change in Yield	Gross	Gross	Net	BCR	Gross	Gross	Net	BCR
					High	Low	Av.	Oncok		Cost	Return	Return	(R/C)	Cost	Return	Return	(R/C)
Paddy		Management of Srem borer															
/Pusa-	IPM	of paddy through	10	2.0	50.3	40.0	45.15	39.2	15.18	37400	108360	70960	1:2.90	36600	94080	57480	1:2.57
1121		chlorantriliprole 0.4 %															
Sugarca		Management of															
ne/	IDM	Pokkabowing by using of	10	2.0	980	837	908.50	788	15.29	98980	286178	187198	1:2.89	92260	248220	155960	1:2.69
Co-238		CoC@3g/lit															
Dorwo1/		Management of fruit fly in															
Falwal/	IPM	Parwalby using Cue-lure	10	4.0	122	98	110.0	95	15.79	43280	220000	176720	1:5.08	41910	190000	148090	1:4.53
Sel10		traps @5 traps/acre															
Tomato/		Management of fruit borer															
Hybrid-	IPM	by spinosad 45 %	5	1.0							Result av	waited					
2																	

Sale price : Parwal @ Rs 20.0/kg, Paddy @ Rs 2400/qtl., Sugarcane @ 315/Qt.



Category	Thematic		No. of	Area		Yield (q/ha)		%	Econ	omics of	demo. Re	s./ha)	Econ	omics of	check (Rs	./ha)
& Crop	Area	Name of the technology	Farmers	(ha)		Demo		Check	Change in Yield	Gross	Gross	Net	BCR	Gross	Gross	Net	BCR
	y/				High	Low	Av.	Oneon		Cost	Return	Return	(R/C)	Cost	Return	Return	(R/C)
Paddy/ Pusa- 1121	RCT	Use of Power sprayer for spraying of insecticides in Paddy crop	10	4.0	42.5	39.5	41.0	38.7	5.9	32500	71750	39250	2.21	31500	67725	36225	2.15
Wheat /HD- 2967	RCT	Sowing of wheat by Seed Drill	15	6.0	49.3	44.6	46.95	42.1	11.5	24500	86388	61888	3.52	22500	77464	54964	3.44



FLD on Other Enterprise: Kitchen Gardening

Category	Therestin	Name of the technology	No. of	No. of	Yield	d (Kg)	%	Econo	mics of ((Rs.	demonst /ha)	ration	E	conomic: (Rs.	s of che /ha)	ck
and Crop	I nematic area	demonstrated	Farmer	Units	Demo.	Check	in yield	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	BCR (R/C)	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	BCR (R/C)
Kitchen garden	House hold food security	Kitchen gardening	10	10	70	25	180	450	1750	1300	1:3.8	250	500	250	1:2.0

FLD on Women Empowerment

Category and	The survey is a survey	Name of the technology	No. of	No. of	Yield	Ec	onomics o (R	of demonst s./ha)	ration
Crop	i nematic area	demonstrated	Farmer	Units	Demo.	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	BCR (R/C)
Tomato	Value Addition	Gradational income though Tomato by preparing Ketchup	10	10	3 Kg	140	390	250	2.7
	value Addition	Gradational income though Tomato by preparing Tomato Puree	10	10	2.5 Kg	80	250	170	3.1

Tomato Ketchup @ Rs130., Tomato Puree @ Rs.100.



III. Training Programme

Farmers' Training including sponsored training programmes (On campus)

					ON C	CAMPUS				
	No.					Participan	ts			
	of		Others			SC/ST		(Grand Tota	ıl
Thematic area	es	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
I Crop Production								•		
Nursery management	2	35	0	35	5	0	5	40	0	40
Crop management	4	70	0	70	10	0	10	80	0	80
Total	6	105	0	105	15	0	15	120	0	120
II Horticulture										
Layout and Management of Orchards	1	9	0	9	11	0	11	20	0	20
Management of young plants/orchards	1	8	0	8	12	0	12	20	0	20
Nursery management	1	11	0	11	9	0	9	20	0	20
Total (b)	3	28	0	28	32	0	32	60	0	60
III Soil Health and Fertility Ma	nagemer	nt								
Integrated Nutrient Management	1	17	0	17	3	0	3	20	0	20
Production and use of organic inputs	1	15	0	15	5	0	5	20	0	20
Micro nutrient deficiency in	1	10	0	10	2	0	2	20	0	20
crops Soil and Water Testing	1	18	0	18	2	0	2	20	0	20
Total	1	15	0	15) 15	0) 15	20	0	20
IV Home Science/Women empo	4 wermen	05 t	U	05	15	U	15	80	U	00
Household food security by kitchen gardening and nutrition	1	0	2	2	0	19	19	0	20	20
Minimization of nutrient loss in	1	0	2	2	0	10	10	0	20	20
processing	2	0	9	9	0	31	31	0	40	40
Women & Child care	1	0	9	9	0	11	11	0	20	20
Total	4	0	20	20	0	60	60	0	80	80
Ag. Engg										
Repair & Maintenance	3	51	-	51	9	-	9	60	-	60
Drip Irrigation	1	17	-	17	3	-	3	20	-	20
Total	4	68	-	68	12	-	12	80	-	80
Plant Protection										
Integrated Pest management	4	46	-	46	34	-	34	80	-	80
GRAND TOTAL	25	312	20	332	108	60	168	420	80	500



					Off (CAMPUS				
	No.					Participan	ts			
	oi cours		Others			SC/ST		(Grand Tota	al
Thematic area	es	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
I Crop Production										
Residue management	1	15	0	15	5	0	5	20	0	20
Resource Conservation	7	100	0	120	10		1.0	1.40		1.40
Technologies	1	130	0	130	10	0	10	140	0	140
Integrated Crop Management	2	12	0	12	8	0	8	20	0	20
Tetal	1	14	0	14	6	0	6	20	0	20
	11	171	0	171	29	0	29	220	0	220
II Horticulture										
a) Vegetable Crops										
Production of low value and high volume crops	1	18	0	18	2	0	2	20	0	20
Nursery management	2	40	0	40	0	0	0	40	0	40
Methods of sowing techniques	2	38	0	38	2	0	2	40	0	40
Total (a)	5	<u> </u>	0	06	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	100	0	100
b) Fruits	5	90	0	90	4	U		100	U	100
Layout and Management of										
Orchards	1	20	0	20	0	0	0	20	0	20
Rejuvenation of old orchards	1	19	0	19	1	0	1	20	0	20
Total (b)	2	39	0	39	1	0	1	40	0	40
c) Ornamental Plants										
Nursery Management	2	40	0	40	0	0	0	40	0	40
Methods of sowing techniques	1	20	0	20	0	0	0	20	0	20
Total (c)	3	60	0	60	0	0	0	60	0	60
d) Spices										
Production and Management										
technology	1	16	0	16	4	0	4	20	0	20
GT (a-d)	11	211	0	211	9	0	9	220	0	220
III Soil Health and Fertility										
Soil fertility management	2	20	0	20	10	0	10	40	0	40
Integrated Nutrient	2		0	30	10	0	10	40	0	40
Management	2	32	0	32	8	0	8	40	0	40
Micro nutrient deficiency in	2	24	0	24	6	0	6	10	0	40
Soil and Water Testing	2	34	0	34	6	0	6	40	0	40
Total	2	32	0	32	8	0	8	40	0	40
V Home Science/Women	08	128	U	128	32	U	32	160	U	160
empowerment										
Household food security by										
kitchen gardening and nutrition	2	0	14	14	0	26	26	0	40	40
Minimization of nutrient loss in	2	0	17	14	0	20	20	0	UT	UT
processing	1	0	18	18	0	02	02	0	20	20
Design and development of	1	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	20	20
Women empowerment		0	20	20	0	0	0	0	20	20
sinen empowerment	5	0	36	56	0	4	4	0	60	60

Women and child care	2	0	27	27	0	13	13	0	40	40
Drudgery reduction	3	0	48	48	0	12	12	0	60	60
Value addition	1	0	18	18	0	2	2	0	20	20
Total	13	0	201	201	0	59	59	0	260	260
Agri. Engg										
Repair & Maintenance	11	166	12	178	42	-	42	208	12	220
Protected cultivation	1	15	-	15	5	-	5	20	-	20
Total	12	181	12	193	47	-	47	228	12	240
V Plant Protection										
Integrated Pest management	7	120	-	120	20	-	20	140	-	140
Integrated Diseases management	5	88	-	88	12	-	12	100	-	100
Total	12	208		208	32	-	32	240	-	240
G Total	67	899	206	1112	149	59	208	1048	272	1320



Consolidated (On + Off)

Thematic area	No.	No. Participants											
	of		Others			SC/ST		G	Frand To	tal			
	cour	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total			
I Crop Production	ses												
Nursery management		47	0	17	10	0	10	(0)	0	<i>c</i> 0			
Crop management	4	47	0	47	13	0	13	60	0	60			
	4	70	0	70	10	0	10	80	0	80			
Residue management	1	15	0	15	5	0	5	20	0	20			
Resource Conservation	7	130	0	130	10	0	10	140	0	140			
Integrated Crop Management	, 1	14	0	14	6	0	6	20	0	20			
Total	17	276	0	276	44	0	44	320	0	320			
	1/	210	v	270		v		520	v	520			
II Horticulture													
a) Vegetable Crops													
Production of low value													
and high value crops	1	18	0	18	2	0	2	20	0	20			
Nursery management	3	51	0	51	9	0	9	60	0	60			
Method of sowing													
technique	2	38	0	38	2	0	2	40	0	40			
Total (a)	6	107	0	107	13	0	13	120	0	120			
b) Fruits													
Layout and Management													
of Orchards	2	29	0	29	11	0	11	40	0	40			
Management of young													
plants/orchards	1	8	0	8	12	0	12	20	0	20			
Rejuvenation of old		10	0	10		0		•	0	•			
orchards	1	19	0	19	1	0	1	20	0	20			
Total (b)	4	56	0	56	24	0	24	80	0	80			
c) Ornamental Plants													
Nursery Management	2	40	0	40	0	0	0	40	0	40			
Method of sowing													
technique	1	20	0	20	0	0	0	20	0	20			
Total (c)	3	60	0	60	0	0	0	60	0	60			
d) Spices													
Production and													
Management technology	1	16	0	16	4	0	4	20	0	20			
G.T	14	239	0	239	41	0	41	280	0	280			
III Soil Heelth and Earth			.4]			
Soil fortility management				20	10	0	10	40	0	40			
Jute grate d Nature 4		30	U	30	10	U	10	40	U	40			
Integrated Nutrient	2	10		40	11	0	11	<i>c</i> 0	0	<i>c</i> 0			
Management	3	49	0	49	11	0	11	60	0	60			
Micro nutrient deficiency													

in crops

Soil and Water Testing	3	47	0	47	13	0	13	60	0	60
Production and use of										
organic input	1	15	0	15	5	0	5	20	0	20
Total	12	193	0	193	47	0	47	240	0	240
V Home Science/Women										
empowerment										
Household food security										
by kitchen gardening and										
nutrition gardening	3	0	16	16	0	44	44	0	60	60
Design and development										
of low/minimum cost diet	1	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	20	20
Minimization of nutrient										
loss in processing	3	0	27	27	0	33	33	0	60	60
Women empowerment	3	0	56	56	0	4	4	0	60	60
Women and child care	3	0	36	36	0	24	24	0	60	60
Drudgery reduction	3	0	48	48	0	12	12	0	60	60
Value addition	1	0	18	18	0	2	2	0	20	20
Total	17	0	221	221	0	119	119	0	340	340
Plant Protection										
Integrated Pest management	11	166	-	166	54	-	54	220	-	220
Integrated Diseases	F	00		00	10		10	100		100
Total	5 16	88 254	-	⁸⁸ 254	12 66	-	12 66	320	-	<u> </u>
VI Agric Engg	10	204		201	00		00	020		520
Repair & Maintenance	14	217	12	229	51		51	268	12	280
Drip Irrigation	1	17	-	17	3	_	3	200	14	200
Protected cultivation	1	15	-	15	5	-	5	20	-	20
Total	16	249	12	261	59	-	59	308	12	320
Grand Total	92	1211	233	1444	257	119	376	1478	352	1820

	No.				No. of	Particip	ants			
A way of training	of	of Gene		I SC/ST				Grand Total		
Area of training	Cour ses	Male	Femal e	Total	Male	Female	Total	Mal e	Female	Tota l
Seed Production	1	8	-	8	2	-	2	10	0	10
Value addition	2	-	9	9	-	11	11	-	20	20
Vermin Compost	1	7	-	7	3	0	3	10	0	10
Nursery raising under poly house	1	8	0	8	2	0	2	10	0	10
Repair & maintenance	2	11	-	11	9	-	9	20	-	20
Integrated Pest Management	1	8	-	8	2	-	2	10	-	10
Integrated Nutrient Management	1	3	-	3	7	-	7	10	-	10
Total	9	45	9	54	25	11	36	70	20	90

Training for Rural Youths including sponsored training programmes (On campus)





Training programmes for Extension Personnel including sponsored training programmes (on campus)

		ON CAMPUS								
Area of Training	No.	No.			Participants					
	cour		Others		SC/ST			Grand Total		
	ses	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Crop Management	4	50	0	50	10	0	10	60	0	60
Integrated Nutrient management	3	30	0	30	15	0	15	45	0	45
Layout and management of orchard	1	16	0	16	0	0	0	16	0	16
Nutrient management	1	15	0	15	0	0	0	15	0	15
Women and Child care	3	0	35	35	0	10	10	0	45	45
House hold food security	1	0	12	12	0	3	3	0	15	15
Integrated Pest Management	4	40	0	40	20	0	20	60	0	60
Repair & maintenance	3	45	0	45	0	0	0	45	0	45
Irrigation	1	15	0	15	0	0	0	15	0	15
TOTAL	21	211	47	258	45	13	58	256	60	316





Sponsored training programmes

			No. of Participants								
Area of Sponsoring		No. of General		General SC/ST		Grand Total					
training	ligency		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Tota l	Male	Female	Total
Farmers	U.P.										
Technical	Governme	04	140	10	150	28	22	50	168	32	200
Training	nt										
TOTAL		04	140	10	150	28	22	50	168	32	200

IV. Extension Activity

Activities	No. of programmes	No. of farmers	No. of Extension Personnel	Total
Advisory Services	678	921	41	962
Diagnostic visits	21	53	17	70
Field Day	9	183	31	214
Group discussions	-	-	-	
Kisan Ghosthi	31	1411	233	1644
Film Show	7	1221	78	1299
Self -help groups	4	64	7	71
Kisan Mela (Attended)	24	2631	78	2709
Exhibition	8	3543	27	3570
Scientists' visit to farmers field	68	363	9	372
Plant/animal health camps	-	-	-	-
Farm Science Club	-	-	-	-
Ex-trainees Sammelan	-	-	-	-
Farmers' seminar/workshop	-	-	-	-
Method Demonstrations	-	-	-	-
Celebration of important days	4	320	22	342
Special day celebration	2	155	16	171
Exposure visits	5	172	0	172
Others(Farmer visited KVK)	-	-	-	0
Total	861	11037	559	11596









Details of other extension programmes

Particulars	Number
Electronic Media (CD./DVD)	0
Extension Literature	12
News paper coverage	45
Popular articles	15
Radio Talks	04
TV Talks	02
Animal health amps (Number of animals treated)	0
Others(Success Story,Book Published)	6
Total	15











Mobile Advisory Services

			Type of Messages					
Name of KVK	Message Type	Сгор	Livestock	Weather	Marke- ting	Aware- ness	Other enterpri se	Total
	Text only	273	15	10	21	35	28	764
Meerut	Voice only	1321	8	28	10	385	190	3884
	Voice & Text both							
	Total Messages	1594	23	38	31	420	218	4648
Total farn	ners Benefitted							



VI. PRODUCTION OF SEED/PLANTING MATERIAL AND FODDER

Production of seeds by the KVKs

Cron	Name of the	Name of the	Name of the	Quantity of seed	Value
Стор	crop	variety	hybrid	(q)	(R s)
Rabi 2018-19	Wheat	HD - 2967	-	240.0	468000.00
	Jowar	PC - 9	-	Auction	116000.00
Total				240.00	584000.00

Production of planting materials by the KVKs

Crop	Name of the crop	Name of the variety	Name of the hybrid	Number	Value (Rs.)
	Tomato	Selection 22	-	1000	640
Cauliflower Pusa Early kuwa		Pusa Early kuwari	-	1000	620
Vegetables	Cabbage	Kaveri	Kaveri	3000	1000

	Brinjal	Nav Kiran	Nav Kiran	3000	400
	Chilli	Soldier	Soldier	1000	400
	Onion	Pusa Red	-	6000	1250
Flowers	Marigold	Pusa Narangi	-	2500	700
	Gailardia	Normal	-	4500	650
	Kochia	Normal	-	2000	450
	Zinnea	Normal	-	2500	400
Total		26500	6510		

Product	Quantity (Kg)	Value
Honey Production (Kg.)	12.00	2400.00
Milk Production	1072 lit	48240.00
Mushroom Production	25 Kg	2500.00

Production of Bio-Products: Vermi compost – 10.0 Qt. (Farm use)



Performance of Crop Cafeteria

	Kharif	Rabi			
Name of crop	Variety	Name of crop	Variety		
Brocolli	1. Green curd		1. YSH 402		
			2. YSH 401		
		Mustard	3. RH 749		
Brinjal	1. Navkiran				

			4. RH 406
			5. PITAMBRI
Chilli	1. Ashwarya		6. NRCHB-101
			7. BAYER
Tomato	1. Ajanta	Timely sown	1. PBW-292
		Wheat	
Onion	1. Pusa Red	() Hour	2. HD - 3086
			2. PBW-1105
Cauliflower	1. K - 10		3. WB-02
			4.
Cabbage	1. Parvati	Late sown wheat	1. PBW 292
			2. PBW -509
			3. DBW 16
			4. DBW 71



Samples	No. of Samples	No. of Farmers	No. of Villages	Amount realized (Rs.)
Soil	1231	3971	28	184650
Water				
Plant				
Total	1231	3971	28	184650

VII. DETAILS OF SOIL, WATER AND PLANT ANALYSIS

VIII. SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Name of KVK	Number of SACs conducted	
Meerut	1. 28.01.2019	
	2. 16.12.2019	

IX. NEWSLETTER/MAGAZINE

Name of News letter/Magazine	No. of Copies printed for distribution	

X. PUBLICATIONS

Category	Number
Books	-
Training Manual	-
Book Chapter	3
Research papers	5
Seminar Papers	2
Technical bulletins	5
Technical reports	17
Total	32

XI. DETAILS ON RAIN WATER HARVESTING STRUCTURE AND MICRO-IRRIGATION SYSTEM

Rain Water Harvesting at KVK

Water is becoming a scare commodity and it is considered as a liquid god in the country. Demand of water is also increasing day by day not only for irrigation but also for household and industrial purposes. At the same time more area should be brought under irrigation to feed the increasing population of the country, which also needs more water. But we are not going to get 11iter more water than we get at present though the demand is alarming.

Objectives

- To demonstrate the technology among farmers
- To avoid water stagnation and crop damage
- Recycling of waste drain water
- To utilize the stored water for irrigation and other farm purposes during dry season
- To avoid sole dependency on electricity to irrigate farm as well as reducing costly electricity charges

Total Encatchment Area – 6



Summary of project for water harvesting structure:

S. N.	Item	Amount (Rs)
(A)	Cost of ponds	
1	Cost of ponds	834440.00
2	Cost of barbed wire fencing	132452.70
3	Cost of Syphon work	51476.00
4	Cost of sign board	5000.00
	Total	1023368.70

(B) Additional charges	
Cost of labour cess @ 1 % on A	10233.68
Centage charges @ 6.875 % on A	70556.60
Total	80970.28
(C) Cost of Percolation treatment	
Filling of clay soil and common salt in bottom of pond to prevent	100000.00
water percolation	
(D) Cost of Solar pump	
Cost of solar pump (3 HP)	434000.00
Cost of trolley for panel installation	42000.00
	476000.00
Grand Total = A + B + C + D =	1680338.98
Say = Rs Sixteen lac and eighty thousand only	1680000.00

It is very important to make water everybody's business. It means a role for everybody with respect to water. Every household and community has to become involved in the provision of water and in the protection of water resources. As far as the KVK is concern, a water harvesting being a long life structure at KVK, not only useful for irrigation and money saving asset but also may serve the farming community to aware them about conservation of natural resources to counter water crises in future and may be integrate as component to develop integrated farming system as entrepreneurship development.

XII. INTERVENTIONS ON DISASTER MANAGEMENT/UNSEASONAL RAINFALL/HAILSTORM/COLD WAVES ETC

Crops/cultivars	Area (ha)	Extent of damage	Recovery of damage through KVK initiatives if any
Total			

Introduction of alternate crops/varieties

Major area coverage under alternate crops/varieties

Crops	Area (ha)	Number of beneficiaries
Oilseeds		
Pulses		
Cereals		
Vegetable crops		
Tuber crops		
Total		

Farmers-scientists interaction on livestock management

Livestock components	Number of interactions	No.of participants
Total		

Animal health camps organised

Number of camps	No.of animals	No.of farmers	
Total			

Seed distribution in drought hit states

Crops	Quantity (qtl)	Coverage of area (ha)	Number of farmers
Total			

Large scale adoption of resource conservation technologies

Crops/cultivars and gist of resource conservation technologies introduced	Area (ha)	Number of farmers
Total		

Awareness campaign

Meetin	gs	Gosth	ies	Field	d days	Farme	ers fair	Exhibiti	ion	Film	show
No.	No.of	No.	No.of	No.	No.of	No.	No.of	No.	No.of	No.	No.of
	farmers		farmers		farmers		farmers		farmers		farmers

XIII. DETAILS ON HRD ACTIVITIES

A. HRD activities organized in identified areas for KVK staff by the Directorate of Extension

Name of the SAU	Title of the training programmes	No of programmes	No. of Participants	No. of KVKs involved
Total				

HRD activities organized in identified areas for KVK staff by Zonal Project Directorate

Title of the training programmes	No of programmes	No. of Participants	No. of KVKs involved
Total			

Publications (Print & Electronic media)

S. No	Particulars	Number sold	Revenue generated	Number of farmers
			in Rs.	benefited
01	Books			
02	Technical bulletins			
03	Technology Inventory			
04	CDs			
05	DVDs			
06	Video films			
07	Audio CDs			
08	Others if any (please specify)			

Technology Products provided

Particulars	Quantity	Unit of quantity	Value in Rs.	Number of farmers
			4 60 0 0 0	benefited
Seeds	240.0	Quintal	468000	-
Planting materials	26500	Numbers	6510.00	54
Livestock		Numbers		
Poultry birds		Numbers		
Bio-products (Honey	12	Quintals	2400	
production)				
Others (Fodder)			116000	

Technology services provided

S. No	Particulars	Number of farmers benefited
01	Soil and water testing	3971
02	Plant diagnostics	70
03	Details about the services to line Departments	480
04	Others if any (please specify)	

XIV. AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY INFORMATION CENTRE (2019)

A. Details on ATICs

S. No	Name of the ATIC	Name of the Host Institute	Name of the ATIC Manager
1.	Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Hastinapur,	SardarVallabhbhai Patel	
	Meerut	University of Agriculture &	Dr. Omvir Singh, Professor &
		Technology, Meerut	Head

B. Details on Farmer's visit (Jan 2019 to Dec 2019)

S. No	Purpose of visit	Number of farmer's visited
01	Technology Information	1250
02	Technology Products	
03	Others if any pl. specify	

C. Facilities in the ATIC which are in operation

S. No	Particulars	Availability (Please \sqrt{mark})	Number of ATICs
01	Reception counter		
02	Exhibition / technology		
	museum		
03	Touch screen Kiosk		
04	Cafeteria		
05	Sales counter		
06	Farmer's feedback		
	register		
07	Others if any (please		
	specify)		

D. Technology information provided

D.1. Details on technology information (Jan 2019 to Dec 2019)

S. No	Informati on category	Numbe r of ATICs	Total number of farmers benefitte d			Category o	f inform	ation		
				Varietie s / hybrids	Pest manag ement	Disease managem ent	Agro- techni ques	Soil and water conserv ation	Post Har vest tech nolo gy and Val ue addi tion	Anim al Husb andry and fisher ies
01	Kisan Call Centre / other Phone calls from farmers									
02	Video shows	11	162		4	2	2	2	1	

03	Letters received						
04	Letters replied						
05	Training to farmers / technocrats / students	2	64	2			
06	Others pl. specify						

D.2 . Publications (Print & Electronic media) (Jan 2019 to Dec 2019)

S. No	Particulars	Number sold	Revenue generated in Rs.	Number of farmers benefited
01	Books			
02	Technical bulletins			
03	Technology Inventory			
04	CDs			
05	DVDs			
06	Video films			
07	Audio CDs			
08	Others if any (please specify)			

E. Technology Products provided (Jan 2019 to Dec 2019)

S. No	Particulars	Quantity	Unit of quantity	Value in Rs.	Number of
					farmers benefited
01	Seeds	240	Quintal	468000	
02	Planting	26500	Numbers	6510	
	materials				
03	Livestock		Numbers		
04	Poultry birds		Numbers		
05	Bio-products	12	Quintals	2400	
06	Fodder			116000	
07	Milk	1072	Lit	48240	
	production				
08	Mushroom	25	Kg	2500	45
	Production		_		

F. Technology services provided (Jan 2019 to Dec 2019)

S. No	Particulars	Number of farmers benefited
01	Soil and water testing	3971
02	Plant diagnostics	70
03	Details about the services to line Departments	480
04	Others if any (please specify)	
XV. TECHNOLOGICAL BACKSTOPPING BY DIRECTORATES OF EXTENSION

States covered:

Number of Directorates of Extension:

A. Details on Directors of Extension

	2.000000							
S. No	Name of the	Name of the Director of Extension	Number of is provided	KVKs fo	or which te	chnolog	ical bao	ckstopping
	SAU							
			SAU/CAU	DU	ICAR	NGO	SDA	Others (pl. specify)

B. Workshops / meetings organized during Jan 2019 to Dec 2019

S. No.	Details of workshop/meeting conducted	No. of KVKs participated

C. Visits made by DE / Officials in the Directorate to KVKs during Jan 2019 to Dec 2019

S. No.	Particulars	Number of visits
01	SAC meetings	
02	Field days	
03	Workshops / seminars	
04	Technology week	
05	Training programmes	
06	Others pl. specify	

D. Overseeing of KVKs activities during Jan 2019 to Dec 2019

S. No.	Particulars	Number of fields visited	Major observations / remarks	Major suggestions given
01	On Farm Trials			
02	Front Line			
	Demonstration			
03	Others pl. specify			

E. Publication on Technology inventory during Jan 2019 to Dec 2019

S. No.	Particulars	Number
01	Directorates published the technological	
	inventory	
02	Directorates constantly updating the	
	technological inventory	

F. Technological Products provided to KVKs during Jan 2019 to Dec 2019

S. No.	Major technologies provided	Number of KVKs
01	Seeds	
02	Planting materials	
03	Bio-products	
04	Livestock breed	
05	Livestock products	
06	Poultry breed	
07	Poultry products	
08	Others pl. specify	

Studies an Intervention and Adoption of Flowers Production Technologies among the Farmers in Meerut district conditions: A successful venture

VIRENDRA PAL¹, NAVEEN CHANDRA², MANOJ KUMAR SINGH³, AND VIPIN KUMAR⁴

^{1&2}Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Hastinapur, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut- 250110 (Uttar Pradesh)

dvpgangwar77@gimail.com & nchandra120@gmail.com

^{3&4}Department of Horticulture, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology,

Meerut- 250110t (Uttar Pradesh)

ABSTRACT

The case study was observed in Meerut conditions with the part of western Uttar Pradesh. The six villages *viz.*, Hastinapur khas, Ganeshpur, Phulawda, Lawar, Dabka and Pipli khera were randomly selected, further four blocks namely Hastinapur, Mawana, Sardhana and Kharkhonda in Meerut district were selected. From each block 40 farmers were selected. Thus, in all 160 farmers were randomly selected. The finding indicated on cost returns aspect of flower crops cultivation. The data collected on during 2016-17 and 2017–18 was subjected to the anglicized. It can be effectively, virtually and successfully venture put under the cultivation of flowers like marigold, chrysanthemum, gladiolus and tuberose under the programme of front line demonstrations (FLDs) and their update knowledge like is practices farmers (PF) trainings. Due to weather is good for better in flowers cultivation during period and availability of irrigation facility, potential seeds and fertilizers, farmers in Meerut district. The case study was also monitored regularly. The high yielding varieties of flowers recorded significantly higher yield and with quality produces in the field over the local varieties. The farmers recoded on average yield of marigold (168.6 q/ha), chrysanthemum (217840 sticks/ha), gladiolus (128520 spikes/ha) and tuberose (120600 spikes/ha) in high yielding varieties respectively.

INTRODUCTION:

Floriculture has been a major thrust area for diversification of horticulture. Growing of flowers and ornamental crops is rapidly expanding enterprise. The floriculture continues to generate employment opportunities to people in rural area. An area under flower cultivation can support a family consisting of 4 to 6 members. Flowers are the beauty and better in human life. All flowers cultivation in condition of Meerut is getting popular among the farmers. The state Uttar Pradesh is blessed with some famous religious shrines and huge amount of flowers are needed for worship. In-spite of the increasing demand for the crop the production is low to fulfil the domestic demand. Main reason for low production and less adoption of flower crops in their farming system is lack of awareness regarding scientific package of practice and its economic importance (Indira, P. Gopal Krishna, T.R. and Peter, P.V., 2001). There is expansive or wide potential for growing flowers because the Meerut district areas can be effectively, virtually and successfully put under the cultivation of flowers like marigold, chrysanthemum, gladiolus and tuberose. Due to weather is good for better in flowers cultivation during period and availability of irrigation facility, potential seeds and fertilizers, farmers in Meerut district areas, Western Uttar Pradesh conditions. Hastinapur khas, Ganeshpur, Phulabda, Lawar, Dabka and Pipli Khera villages district Meerut can be grown these flowers throughout the year. As these villages were well connected by road, there will be no problem of marketing also. There is also near NCR New Delhi area, due to huge demand and supply gap of flowers in the local market, the traders directly come to the farmers field to collect fresh flowers regularly. The recommendations for suitable high yielding varieties of flowers were also available with the IARI, New Delhi and NBRI, Lucknow. Thus,

it was hypothesized that cultivation of flowers will improve the household economy of flowers in the villages.

INTERVENTION AND ADOPTION OF TECHNOLOGYIES:

Observing the interest of few farmers in flower cultivation, FLD's, and training programme was organized to provide the "Know How and Do How" of farmers cultivation for the farmers in Meerut villages. Initially farmers were provided with the quality seeds and seedlings of high yielding varieties (HYV) of Marigold, Chrysanthemum, Gladiolus and Tuberose.

The planting was done by the farmers under the scientific knowledge their update and supervision with advised of Horticulture Scientist (HS) of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Hastinapur, Meerut but the crop was managed by the farmers himself with his inputs and labour. The high yielding varieties of flowers results is recorded significantly higher yield and with quality produces in the field over the local varieties. The farmers recoded on average yield of marigold (168.6 q/ha), chrysanthemum (217840 sticks/ha), gladiolus (128520 spikes/ha) and tuberose (120600 spikes/ha) in high yielding varieties respectively. To the introduction of Pusa Narangi, Pusa Arpita and Calcutta variety in marigold during the programmes. It has been observed that the plants of marigold grow straight upwards to their height and develop into terminal flower bud. However, if the terminal portion of shoot is removed early, emergence of side branches starts earlier and more number of flowers of good quality and uniform size are produced. By production of terminal flower bud, side buds become free from correlative inhibition of apical dominance and these buds develop into branches to produce flowers. and the Jaimla, Golden Bounty and Indian pink variety in chrysanthemum is very effective in Western Uttar Pradesh condition. The standard chrysanthemum varieties have the genetic potential to produce single bigger sized bloom on a branch if disbudding and proper feeding is done. Similarly more number of pinching can be done to produce more standard flowers per plant. and gladiolus varieties considered as a White Prosperity, Friendship, Nova Lux, American beauty, Pusa Kiran and Pusa Chandni. Now, as well as Tuberose varieties is Shirangar, Pearl double, Vaibhav and Subhasini, it produce waxy, white and fragrant flowers on long spikes which are mostly used as cut flower, for making garlands and extracting essential oil. All flowers crop are recorded 30 - 42 percent higher flowers yield over the farmer's local variety. It is more importantly flowers with the considered of varieties were cultivated commercially and scientifically for the first time by the progressive farmers in these Meerut district conditions.

METHODOLOGY:

The present study was carried out and observed by Krishi Vigyan Kendra , Hasatinapur during 2016-17 to 2017-18 in the farmers field of Meerut district conditions. The district comprises of six villages *viz.*, Hastinapur khas, Ganeshpur, Phulabda, Lawar, Dabka and Pipli khera were randomly selected, further four blocks namely Hastinapur khas, Mawana, Sardhana and Kharkhonda in Meerut district were selected. From each block 40 farmers were selected. Thus, in all 160 farmers were randomly selected. All the intercultural operations were adopted according to package of practice. Materials for the present study with respect to Front Line Demonstrations (FLD's) and Farmers Practice (PF) were given in the table B. Trainings, field day and regular monitoring were also conducted. All the finding indicated on cost returns aspect of flower crops cultivation. The data collected on during 2016-17 and 2017–18 was subjected to the anglicized. The cost ratio concepts approaches to farm casting are widely used in India (Raja, V.T. and Rao, D.V.S.,1990) and study of the technology gap and technology index were calculated as suggested by (Samui,S.K. Maitra, S. Roy,D.K. and Mondal, A.K., 2000).

IMPACT AND IMPLICATIONS:

Successful and commercial cultivation of flowers created a positive impact and uplifted the socio economic of the farmers in the villages. There net return varied marigold, chrysanthemum, gladiolus and tuberose from 153820.00 Rs/ha, 257810.00 Rs/ha, 182050 Rs/ha and 154800.00 Rs/ha due to cultivation of flowers successfully. The flowers wife and children were also engaged in value addition of flowers through making boundless, packaging, garland and there by more employment was generated along with more empowerment of the farm woman, thus through the introduction of floriculture, a positive and significant impact was created in the villages. At present about 30 - 35% of the requirement of flowers are meet locally from the farmers field (Singh, Ranjeet, Soni, R.L., Singh, Virendra and Bugalia, H.L., 2011). There is increasing demand for flower cultivation in these villages after successful demonstration of technology in the farmer's field through Front Line Demonstrations (FLD's). Flowers cultivation has for reaching beneficial consequences in these areas. Commercial flowers cultivation has increased the income stability of the flower crops with better income distribution throughout the year (Singh, S.N., Singh, V.K., Singh, R. K. and Singh, K.R., 2007). As flower cultivation is less require much application of pesticide, irrigation, fertilizers and extensive cultivation of flowers (replacing sugarcane farmers) also have the potential to prevent environmental pollution and save the ecosystem of these western Uttar Pradesh conditions.

Similarly, if we compare the productivity of varieties (grown by the there is wide gap in potential yield of the flowers resent developed varieties and with yield obtained at farmers field). The main reasons of low productivity in this region are use of obsolete varieties susceptible to pest and diseases, imbalanced fertilizer use, poor management of soil and available water resource and with conventional farming practices lacking in the utilisation of improved production technology etc. (Behera,U.K., Bhawsar, R.C., Ruwali, K. N., Mishra, A. N., Verma, P.K. and H.N. Pandey,1999).

Impact indicators	Before	After
a. Employment generated	38	186
b. Average household (Rs.)	16500.00	29500.00
c. Income stability	More fluctuating	Stable

Table A. Impact of adoption of commercial floriculture in farmers field.

This can be realized by applying advanced, environmental friendly technology, which can be manage and allocate all resources efficiently for income stability. Floriculture has emerged as an important agribusiness, providing employment opportunities and entrepreneurship in both urban and rural areas. It has been found that commercial floriculture has higher potential per unit area than most of the field crops and therefore, a lucrative business.

Crops	Yield	Cost of	Total Gross	Net return	B.C.
(Local variety/	(q/ha or No.	cultivation	return	(Rs/ha)	Ratio
High Yielding	of spikes & stick/ha	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)		
Variety)					
Marigold					
Local	128.0	41200.00	153600.00	112400.00	1:3.72
HYV	168.6	48500.00	202320.00	153820.00	1:4.17
Chrysanthemum					
Local	182450.00	63430.00	273675.00	210245.00	1:4.31
HYV	217840.00	68950.00	326760.00	257810.00	1:4.70
Gladiolus					
Local	100140.00	124800.00	250350.00	125550.00	1:1.20
HYV	128520.00	139250.00	321300.00	182050.00	1:1.34
Tuberose					
Local	85230.00	67500.00	170460.00	102960.00	1:1.92
HYV	120000.00	85200.00	240000.00	154800.00	1:2.81

 Table B. Yield and Economics of flowers in the farmers field in Meerut conditions.

Sale price: Marigold 1200/- qtl., Chrysanthemum 1.5/- stick, Gladiolus 2.5/- spike and Tuberose 2.0/- spike.

FARMERS REACTION:

The front line demonstration, on farm testing and practice farmers has revealed that the farmers of the area were highly impressed by the technologies. Also the farmers were thoroughly convinced that the improved practices like high yielding varieties and application of balanced fertilizer dose (right proportion, adequate quantity and right time of application) contributed a more efficient in realising higher yields.

CONCLUSION:

It may be concluded that as per the agro- climatic conditions in Meerut, the technological intervention should be adopted for the technological viability, suitability and sustainability in context of more profit of farmers smart income. Technological and extension gap extended can be bridged by improved package of practices with emphasis on improved production technology including all cultural operations. Replacement of local variety with the high yielding variety (HYV) of flower crops would increase the production and net income of the farmers also.

REFERENCES:

1. Indira, P., Gopal Krishan, T.R. and Peter, P.V. (2001). Spices in India. Paper presented in Silber Jubilee Seminar on Spices, *Indian Institute of Spices Research, Calicat*, October 8-9, pp 143-152.

2. Raja, V.T. and Rao, D.V.S. (1990). Economic of farm production and management. Oxfort and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.

3. Samui, S.K., Maitra, S., Roy, D.K. and Mondal, A.K. (2000). Evaluation of on farm front line demonstration on groundnut. *Journal of Indian Social Costal Agric. Res.*21 (2): 69-70.

4. Singh, Ranjeet, Soni, R.L., Singh, Virendra and Bugalia, H.L. (2011). Dissemination of improved production technologies of solanaceous vegetables in Banswara district of Rajasthan through front line demonstration. *Rajasthan Journal of Ext. Edu*, 19 : 97-100.

5. Singh, S,N., Singh, V.K., Singh, R.K. and Singh, K.R. (2007). Evaluation of on farm front line demonstration on the yield of mustard in central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Res. Journal of Ext. Edu.*,7 (2&3):79-81.

6. Behera,U.K., Bhawsar, R.C., Ruwali, K. N., Mishra, A. N., Verma, P.K. and H.N. Pandey (1999). Bridging yield gap of wheat through front line demonstration. *Agricultural Extension Review*,11 (2):23-26.

Doubling Income through Sugarcane value addition

A farmer Sh. Naresh sirohi S/o Sh Mahavir Singh R/o hitkari, block Sardhana, Distt. Meerut has 1.25 acre land with canal irrigation facility. Earlier he was growing traditional crops like Sugarcane – wheat by which he was getting approximately 50.00 thousands per year. The annual earning of Sh. Sirohi was insufficient to met out the expenditure required for smoothly running of the family.



Sh. Sirohi is a graduate and ambitious person with a zeal to do something better for the family. Being a educated person he contacted to KVK, Meerut and got idea to process the sugarcane. He started preparation of vinegar with the technical support of KVK, and first time in 2015 started with Only 1.5 Qtl. Sugarcane, by which he extracted 100 lit. juice and finally prepared 90.0 lit. Vinegar. He got total Rs. 3150 after sale of vinegar @ Rs. 35/ Lit, and Rs. 1440.00 net profit after deduction of all expenses on equipments and labour etc in comparison of Rs. 420.00 total income from direct sale of 1.5 Qntl. Sugarcane.

Now Sh. Sirohi is preparing 20 tons. Of vinegar mainly from Sugarcane produced in his own field and getting total Rs.700000.00 net profit in a year out of which 4.0 lacs only from sugarcane vinegar and other 03.0 lacs from Jamun, Pine Apple, Apple, Litchi etc. fortified with Tulsi extract, garlic and other bio ascence. Sh. Sirohi has no marketing problem with sufficient demand of the product. To meet out the demand he is associating other youth in the enterprenure.

For publicity, he started putting exhibition in Kisan mela organized by KVK and Deptt. Of Agriculture in Meerut and nearby districts. He has registered his products with the trade name of *Village Vinegar* with GST No. also and These products are being supplied in several sized packing with brand name at Ayurvedic stores, Grossary stores, Medical stores and road side dhabas. Keeping in mind the demand of products, Sh. Sirohi is planning to double the production.He honoured with silver medal in North Zone Krishi Mela held at Vegetable Research Institute from 23-25 Feb, 2018 by Hon'ble minister of Agriculture, Govt. of India.





Important Events

Kisan Samman Nidhi

135 farmers were benefitted directly connected to the programme of direct telecast on the occasion of innaugration of Kisan Samman Nidhi on 24, February 2019. Total 135 farmers were present in the event



Programme on DD Kisan Channel

Organised four programme of Kisan Chaupal Charcha by recorded by DD Kisan Channel in village Lawar, Andawali, Ganeshpur and Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Hastinapur.



Participation in Krishi Vigyan Mela

Participated in Krishi Vigyan Mela organized by IARI, New Delhi during 5-7 March 2019 as put a stall by KVK, Meerut and represented zone III at the occasion. 100 farmers were mobilized to the event by buses.



Innovators meet

Participated in the innovators meet at NASC complex, New Delhi held on 16-17, July 2019 organised by the ICAR. Dr PS Tiwari, Professor of this centre and one innovator farmer Sh. Naresh Sirohi have participated with installing an exhibition on the occasion.





Kisan Mela

An exhibition have been displayed in All India Kisan Mela held at SVPUA&T, Meerut on 08, Nov. 2019. Sh Surya Pratap Shahi, Hon'ble Agriculture Minister of UP, Dr. A.K. Singh, DDG. AE. ICAR and Dr. R. K. Mittal, Hon'ble Vice Chancellor have visited the stall of the centre.

Kisan Pathshala

Kisan Pathshala Programme has been launched by the Hon'ble Chief minister of U.P. on 09.06.19 in the state. This programme live telecasted at KVK in the present of 213 farmers and 22 officials.





Master trainers training for kisan Pathshala

A training for master trainers of Deptt. of Agril, Meerut for conducting Kisan Pathshala has been conducted at KVK on 25.04 2019 in the chairmanship of Deputy Director Agriculture Meerut. Trainers are scientists of this KVK and 72 participants have participated.

campaign of plantation

A campaign of plantation of large scale was organized with district administration line departments on 09.09.2019 at KVK farm by plantation of 1225 plants of timber. These plants are provided by plants of forestry





Jal Shakti Abhiyan

A Kisan Mela and awareness programme on judicious use of water in agriculture and daily use was organised under Jal Shakti Abhiyan on 07.08.19 at Meerut block. On the occasion central nodal officer GOI was chairman in the presence of CDO Meerut, PD,DRDA, DD Agril. and other officers.



Jal Shakti Abhiyan Kisan Mela

Jal Shakti Abhiyan Mela was organised by on conservation of water in agriculture in the chairmanship of hon'able M.P. Sri Rajendra Prasad at Datawali village of Meerut block on 02.10.2019. About 600 farmer were participated in the programme.

Wide scale plantation

Wide scale plantation and Kisan Gosthi was organized at Krishi Vigyan Kendra on 17.09.2019 funded by IFFCO. In this programme 1000 plants of Aonla, Guava, Sahjan, Jamun, Mango were distributed among the farmer present in this programme.





Jal Shakti Abhiyan

A Kisan Mela and Gosthi was organized in the chairman ship of Sri Nripendra Singh IAS, Deputy Secretry Railways on 03.09.2019 at Krishi Vigyan Kendra. Gosthi was organized on judicious use of water in agriculture through advance technology. Project Director, DRDA, Joint Director Agril, DD Agril. And other officials were present in this programme.



Educational tour

B.Sc. Ag. IIIrd year students of MIET Meerut were visited our ATIC, Soil Testing Lab, Home Science Lab and Bio Control Lab and Farm under the educational tour on 30.04.2019.

Fertilizer Use awareness Programme

Fertilizer Use awareness Programme at Krishi Vigyan Kendra on 22.10.2019. 152 farmers participated in this programme. Live Telecast from Krishi Bhawan new Delhi, was displayed and lecture were delivered on judicious use of fertilizer.





Educational tour

140 students of District institute of education and training (DIET) visited our ATIC, Soil Testing Lab, Home Science Lab and Bio Control Lab and Farm under the educational tour on 22.10.2019 in the guidance of KVK scientists and principal of the institute.

Hon'ble V C visit

Hon'ble Vice Chancellor has visited the KVK on 19.08.2019. During his visit he directed the staff to improve effectiveness of KVK among farmer community.

Hon'ble Minister of State Visit

Hon'ble Minister of State of Agriculture UP has visited KVK on 28, Nov. 2020. He suggested on several point to improve activities for service to the farmers to achieve double income



Celebration of Soil Health Day

Soil Health Day vas been celebrated at the centre on 05, December 2019. On the occasion 72 farmers were benefited by getting soil health cards and total 100 farmers were present in the event



Kisan Samman Diwas

Kisan Samman Diwas has been celebrated at Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Hastinapur, Meerut on 23, December 2019. Total 80 farmers were present on the event and 05 farmers were honored for there remarkable efforts in the field of Agriculture



STATUS REPORT OF

PROJECTS (Jan to Dec 2019)

Year wise status of CFLD

Total CI LD ucinolistiations unucl 11 514 During 2013-10 to 2010-17	Total	CFLD	demonstrations	under	NFSM	During	2015-1	6 to	2018-19
---	-------	-------------	----------------	-------	-------------	--------	--------	------	---------

S.No.	Demo.	Сгор	Variety	Area (ha.)	No.of farmers	No. of villages
Rabi 20)15-16					
1	CFLD	Lentil	L 4594	16	40	5
Summer 2016						
2	CFLD	Black gram	P U 31	16	40	15
3	CFLD	Green gram	IPM 02-3	20	50	18
Rabi 20)16-17					
4	CFLD	Mustard	RH 749	20	43	17
5	CFLD	Lentil	PL 8	15	26	12
Summer 2017						
6	CFLD	Black gram	P U 31	20	27	11
Rabi 2017-18						
7	CFLD	Mustard	YSH 401	10	22	5
8	CFLD	Lentil	PL 8	20	31	7
Summe	er 2018					
9	CFLD	Urd bean	P U 31	10	13	7
Kharif	2018					
10	CFLD	Urd bean	P U 31	10	25	6
Rabi 2018-19						
10	CFLD	Mustard	RH 459	10	25	6
11	CFLD	Lentil	PL 8	10	25	7
Kharif 2019						
12	CFLD	Urd bean	P U 31	10	25	6
Rabi 20)19-20					
13	CFLD	Lentil	PL 8	10	25	9

Cluster Frontline Demonstrations on pulses under NFSM Summer 2018

I. General Information

1	Nome of the VVV	Hastingour (Magnut)
1	Name of the KVK	Hastinapur (Meerut)
2	Year of establishment	1992
3	Host Institution	S.V.P. University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.)
4	Address for communication including phone and	KVK, Hastinapur (Meerut)
	fax numbers	
5	District	Meerut
6	State	Uttar Pradesh

II. Cluster FLDs on pulses under NFSM

Cluster Frontline Demonstrations on pulses under NFSM Rabi 2018-19

I. General Information

1	Name of the KVK	Hastinapur (Meerut)
2	Year of establishment	1992
3	Host Institution	S.V.P. University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.)
4	Address for communication including phone and fax numbers	KVK, Hastinapur (Meerut)
5	District	Meerut
6	State	Uttar Pradesh
II Ch	uster FLDs on Lentil under NFSM (Rabi 2018 - 19)	
1	Name of the crop	Lentil
2	Season and year	Rabi 2018 -19
3	No. of FLDs (farmers) sanctioned	25
4	No. of FLDs (farmers) conducted	25
5	Area (ha) sanctioned	10
6	Area (ha) actually conducted	10
7	Sanctioned budget (Rs.)	90000.00
8	Budget received actually (Rs.)	154179.00 (Balance of previous year)

9	Actual expenditure (Rs.)	22500.00
10	Balance amount (Rs.)	67500.00 (As on 20 Nov, 2018)
11	FLDs implemented in how many clusters?	Six
12	No. of villages and farmers in each cluster	3 villages & 5-9 farmers in each clusters
13	Land situation (irrigated, rainfed, others specify)	Irrigated
14	Name of variety/varieties demonstrated	PL 8
15	Technologies/package of practices demonstrated in each cluster	Seed 30 kg/ha + Flubendamide 39.35 SC
16	Sowing date/dates as per clusters	Cluster 1,2,3 - 2 to 10 November, & Cluster 4, 5,6 - 12 to 20 November, 2018
17	Number of field operations taken so far like manuring, weeding,	Plant protection schedule-
	irrigation etc. and name them with approximate date/week	80 – 90days : Spray of Flubendamide 39.35 SC 125 ml/ha
18	Stage of the crop	Sowing time
19	Expected harvesting date/dates as per clusters	15 to 16 April , 2019

III. Critical inputs provided for demonstration

Sl. No.	Critical inputs	Name of critical input	Quantity	Value (Rs.)	No. of farmers	No. of villages	No. of clusters
1	Seeds (name variety)	PL-8	12 kg/acre	1680.0	50	15	06

IV. Training programmes organized

Sl. No.	Date	Type of training	of Title of training g programme ff		Participant farmers (general)-A			Participant farmers (SC/ST)-B			Total participants (A+B)		
		campus)		Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	
1.	25.11.18	On campus	Agronomics practices of Lentil	15	-	15	05	-	05	20	-	20	
2.	03.12.18	On campus	Agronomics practices of Lentil	13	-	13	07	-	07	20	-	20	

V. Extension activities including field visits organized

Sl. No. Date	Data			Participant farmer	Participant extension personnel			
	Date	Name of extension activity	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total
1.	05.12.18	Visit of Demonstration field	20	-	20	02	-	02
2.	11.01.19	Visit of Demonstration field	20	-	20	03	-	03
3.	23.02.19	Visit of Demonstration field	20	-	20	03	-	03

VI. Performance (results) of the demonstrations

(A) General information

Name of the	Demos	Variety	Variety		State	District	Characteristics of the	Potential	Yield	Yield
сгор	(No.)	Check Demo		average yield (q/ha)	average yield (q/ha)	average yield (q/ha)	demo variety	yield of the demo variety (g/ha)	gap – I (%)	gap – II (%)
Lenti	25	Local	PL-8	6.33	7.15	5.43	 Disease resistance. One time maturity 	18.00	20	10.12

(B) Yield and net returns

	Yield obtained (q/ha)				Yield	Yield Expenditure and returns (Rs./ha)							Net		
Check Demo			increase	Check				Demo							
Max.	Min.	Av.	Max.	Min.	Av.	(70)	Gross Cost (Rs/ ha)	Gross return (Rs/ ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	Gross Cost (Rs/ ha)	Gross return (Rs/ ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	(%)
13.45	11.75	12.6	15.45	12.60	14.02	11.26	41300	63000	21700	1.52	40900	70100	29200	1.71	25.6

(C) Socio-economic impact parameters

Sl. No.	Parameters	Crop-1	Crop-2	Crop3
1	Name of the crop	Lentil		
2	Variety	PL-8		
3	No. of clusters	06		
4	No. of farmers	25		
5	Total area (ha)	10		
6	Selling price (Rs./q)	8000		

VII. Observations and feed-back

(a) Observations by Scientist(s) from KVK- Less incidence of disease

(b) Farmers opinion/feed-back-

- 1. Maturity stage 125days
- 2. Low water requirement
- 3. High yielding variety in comparisons to old variety

VIII. Visitors to cluster FLDs/study tours etc.-

IX. Visitors to cluster FLDs/study tours etc.



Cluster Frontline Demonstrations on Oilseeds under NFSM Rabi 2018-19

I. General Information

1	Name of the KVK	Hastinapur (Meerut)
2	Year of establishment	1992
3	Host Institution	S.V.P. University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.)
4	Address for communication including phone and fax numbers	KVK, Hastinapur (Meerut)
5	District	Meerut
6	State	Uttar Pradesh

II. Cluster FLDs on Oilseed under NFSM (Rabi 2018 - 19)

-	
Season and year	Rabi 2018 -19
No. of FLDs (farmers) sanctioned	25
No. of FLDs (farmers) conducted	25
Area (ha) sanctioned	10
Area (ha) actually conducted	10
Sanctioned budget (Rs.)	60000.00
Budget received actually (Rs.)	119543.00 (Balance amount of 2017 – 18)
Actual expenditure (Rs.)	14000.00
Balance amount (Rs.)	36000 (as on November 20, 2018)
FLDs implemented in how many clusters?	Seven
No. of villages and farmers in each cluster	3 villages & 5-9 farmers in each clusters
Land situation (irrigated, rainfed, others specify)	Irrigated
Name of variety/varieties demonstrated	RH 749
Technologies/package of practices demonstrated in each cluster	Seed 5 kg/ha + Sulpher 12.5 Kg/ha + Pendamethelene 2.5 lt/ha + Neem oil
	1 lit/ha + Carbendazim 12% WP 1kg/ha
Sowing date/dates as per clusters	Cluster 1,2,3 & 4- 15 to 30 September, & Cluster 4, 5,6 & 7 - 17 to 25
	October, 2018
Number of field operations taken so far like manuring,	Plant protection schedule-
weeding, irrigation etc. and name them with approximate	Pre : Spray Pendametelene 2.5 lt/ha. to control of
date/week	emergence weeds
	40-45 days : Broadcasting of Sulpher 12.5 Kg/ha
	Beason and year No. of FLDs (farmers) sanctioned No. of FLDs (farmers) conducted Area (ha) sanctioned Area (ha) actually conducted Banctioned budget (Rs.) Budget received actually (Rs.) Actual expenditure (Rs.) Balance amount (Rs.) FLDs implemented in how many clusters? No. of villages and farmers in each cluster .and situation (irrigated, rainfed, others specify) Vame of variety/varieties demonstrated Cechnologies/package of practices demonstrated in each cluster Sowing date/dates as per clusters Number of field operations taken so far like manuring, veeding, irrigation etc. and name them with approximate late/week

		55 days : Spray of Carbendazim 12% WP 1kg/ha
		70 days : Spray of Neem oil 1 lit/ha to control of aphids
18	Stage of the crop	Vegetative stage
19	Expected harvesting date/dates as per clusters	15 to 30 March , 2019

III. Details on cluster FLD farmers

IV. Critical inputs provided for demonstration

Sl. No.	Critical inputs	Name of critical input	Quantity	Value (Rs.)	No. of farmers	No. of villages	No. of clusters
1	Seeds (name variety) (RH- 749)	Seed	5.0 Kg.	500.00	25	11	11
2	Micro-nutrients	Sulphur	25 Kg/ha.	115.00	25	11	11

V. Training programmes organized

Sl. No.	Date	Type of training	Title of training programme	Participant farmers (general)- A			Participant farmers (SC/ST)-B			Total participants (A+B)		
		(on/on campus)		Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total
1.	11.09.	On	Technical farming in	20	-	20	5	-	5	25	-	25
	2018	campus	Mustard									
2.	05.01.	Off	Integrated weed	20	-	20	5	-	5	25	-	25
	2019	Campus	management in Mustard									

VI. Extension activities including field visits organized

Sl.No.	Date	Name of extension activity		Participant farme	ers	Participant extension personnel			
			Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	
1.	12.12.2018	Field visit	5	-	5	5	-	5	
2.	20.12.2018	Field visit	4	-	4	4	-	4	
3.	24.01.2019	Field visit	10	-	10	10	-	10	
4.	15.02.2019	Field visit	7	-	7	7	-	7	

VII. Performance (results) of the demonstrations

(A) General information

Name of the	Demos	Variety	Variety		State	District	Characteristics of	Potential	Yield	Yield gap –
crop	(No.)	Check	Check Demo		average	average	the demo variety	yield of	gap – I	II (%)
				yield	yield	yield		the demo	(%)	
				(q/ha)	(q/ha)	(q/ha)		variety		
								(q/ha)		
Mustard	25	Pusa	RH-749	7.8	11.36	11.05	1. One time maturity	24	30.75	41.63
(Rabi 18-19)		Bold					2.High yielding			
							variety			

(B) Yield and net returns

	Yield obtained (q/ha)							Expenditure and returns (Rs./ha)							Net
	Check			Demo)	increas	creas Check Demo						returns		
Max.	Min.	Av.	Max.	Min.	Av.	e (%)	Gross Cost (Rs/ ha)	Gross return (Rs/ ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	Gross Cost (Rs/ ha)	Gross return (Rs/ ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	increase (%)
15.65	8.75	14.7	18.75	14.50	18.62	26.66	22630	61740	39110	2.72	23245	78204	54959	3.36	40.52

(C)Results on specific technologies other than varieties

(D) Socio-economic impact parameters

Sl.	Parameters	Crop-1	Crop-2	Crop3
No.				
1	Name of the crop	Mustard		
2	Variety	RH-749		
	No. of clusters	11		
3	No. of farmers	25		
4	Total area (ha)	10.0		
8	Selling price (Rs./q)	4000		

VIII. Observations and feed-back

- (a) Observations by Scientist(s) from KVK-Higher oil content up to 42 %(b) Farmers opinion/feed-back- High Yielding Variety

IX. Quality photographs for all activities to be submitted along with this format



II. Cluster FLDs on pulses under NFSM (Kharif 2019)

1	Name of the crop	Urdbean (Blackgram)
2	Season and year	Kharif 2019
3	No. of FLDs (farmers) sanctioned	25
4	No. of FLDs (farmers) conducted	25
5	Area (ha) sanctioned	10
6	Area (ha) actually conducted	10
7	Sanctioned budget (Rs.)	180000.00
8	Budget received actually (Rs.)	180000.00
9	Actual expenditure (Rs.)	37700.00
10	Balance amount (Rs.)	33772.00
11	FLDs implemented in how many clusters?	Eleven
12	No. of villages and farmers in each cluster	5 villages & 5-9 farmers in each clusters
13	Land situation (irrigated, rainfed, others specify)	Irrigated
14	Name of variety/varieties demonstrated	PU-31
15	Technologies/package of practices demonstrated in each	Seed 180 kg + Emizthpyr 750 gm/ha + Difenthuran 50% 1.25 Kg/ha
	cluster	
16	Sowing date/dates as per clusters	Cluster 1,2,&3- 15 to 30 August, & Cluster 4 & 5 - 17 to 25 August, 2018
17	Number of field operations taken so far like manuring,	Plant protection schedule-
	weeding, irrigation etc. and name them with approximate	25-30 : Spray Emamectin Benzoate 5 % SG 250 g/ha. to control of
	date/week	days green caterpillar
		15.50
		45-50 : Carbendazim 50% WP 750 g/ha to control Corynespora leaf
		spot. + Imidacloprid 17.8 SL 250 ml /ha., to control of
		62 : Second spray Imidacloprid 17.8 SL 250 ml/ha., to control of
		days whiterly & aphids
		72 • Third spray Imidacloprid 17.8 SL 250 ml /ha to control of
		days whitefly & aphids
18	Stage of the crop	Harvested
19	Expected harvesting date/dates as per clusters	2 November to 15 November 2019
-		

Sl.	Critical inputs	Name of critical input	Quantity	Value (Rs.)	No. of	No. of	No. of clusters
No.					farmers	villages	
1	Seeds (name variety)	Seed (PU 31)	180 Kg	15120.00	25	05	11
2	Fertilizers (Organic and inorganic)	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Micro-nutrients	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Weedicides, Pesticides,	1- Difenthuran 50 %	6 Kg	17500.00	25	6	6
	Fungicides etc.						
5	Bio-agents						
6	Bio-products	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Nutrient complex/	_	_	_	_	_	-
	nutrient special						

IV. Critical inputs provided for demonstration

V. Training programmes organized

Sl. No.	Date	Type of training	Title of training programme	Par	ticipant far (general)-	rmers A	Participant farmers (SC/ST)-B			Total participants (A+B)		
		(on/off campus)		Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total
1	04-08-19	Off	Improved cultivation of kharif pulses	18	-	18	02	-	02	20	-	20

VI. Extension activities including field visits organized

Sl.No.	Date	Name of extension activity		Participant farmers			Participant extension personnel			
			Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total		
1	10-07-19	Field selection	03	-	03	-	-	-		
2	16-07-19	Sowing of demo.	06	-	06	-	-	-		
3	26-08-19	Field visit	04	-	04	-	-	-		
4	29-08-19	Field visit	03	-	03	-	-	-		
5	03-09-19	Field visit	02	-	02	06	-	06		

VII. Performance (results) of the demonstrations

(A) General information

Name of the	Demos	Va	riety	National	National State		Characteristics of the	Potentia	Yield	Yield gap –
сгор	(No.)	Check	Demo	average yield (q/ha)	average yield (q/ha)	average yield (q/ha)	demo variety	l yield of the demo variety (q/ha)	gap – I (%)	II (%)
Urdbean (Kharif 2019)	25	8.65	10.72	5.85	4.50	3.54	Year of release- 2008 Average yield (Q/ha.)-15 Days of maturity- 75 to 80 Resistant - YMV	12.5	14.24	19.30

(B) Yield and net returns

	Yie	ld obta	ined (q/	'ha)		Yield	Expenditure and returns (Rs./ha)							Net returns	
	Check			Demo		increase		Check Demo				no		increase (%)	
Max.	Min.	Av.	Max.	Min.	Av.	(%)	Gross Cost (Rs/ ha)	Gross return (Rs/ ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	Gross Cost (Rs/ ha)	Gross return (Rs/ ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	
9.68	7.62	8.65	11.65	9.80	10.72	23.93	32363.0	48446.0	16077.0	1:1.49	36172.0	60032.0	23860.0	1:1.65	48.41

Sale rate – Rs.5600 /q

VIII. Observations and feed-back

(a) Observations by Scientist(s) from KVK – Whitefly & green caterpillar are controlled only by chemical pesticides.
(b) Farmers opinion/feed-back- Blue bull & rain fall menace at pod formation stage.

IX. Visitors to cluster FLDs/study tours- D. D. Agriculture has visited the field.

X. Quality photographs for all activities to be submitted along with this format-



Cluster Frontline Demonstrations on pulses under NFSM 2019-2020

I. General Information

1	Name of the KVK	Hastinapur (Meerut)
2	Year of establishment	1992
3	Host Institution	S.V.P. University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.)
4	Address for communication including phone and fax numbers	KVK, Hastinapur (Meerut)
5	District	Meerut
6	State	Uttar Pradesh

II. Cluster FLDs on Lentil under NFSM (Rabi 2019-20)

1	Name of the crop	Lentil
2	Season and year	Rabi 2019 -20
3	No. of FLDs (farmers) sanctioned	25
4	No. of FLDs (farmers) conducted	25
5	Area (ha) sanctioned	10
6	Area (ha) actually conducted	10
7	Sanctioned budget (Rs.)	129511.00
8	Budget received actually (Rs.)	0.00.00
9	Actual expenditure (Rs.)	41000.00
10	Balance amount (Rs.)	88511.00
11	FLDs implemented in how many clusters?	Six
12	No. of villages and farmers in each cluster	3 villages & 5-9 farmers in each clusters
13	Land situation (irrigated, rainfed, others specify)	Irrigated
14	Name of variety/varieties demonstrated	PL 8
15	Technologies/package of practices demonstrated in each cluster	Seed 30 kg/ha
16	Sowing date/dates as per clusters	Cluster 1,2,3 - 2 to 10 November, & Cluster 4, 5,6 - 12 to 20 Nov, 2019
17	Number of field operations taken so far like manuring, weeding,	Plant protection schedule-
	irrigation etc. and name them with approximate date/week	80 – : Spray of Flubendamide 39.35 SC 125 ml/ha
		90days
18	Stage of the crop	Sowing time
19	Expected harvesting date/dates as per clusters	-

III. Critical inputs provided for demonstration

Sl. No.	Critical inputs	Name of critical input	Quantity	Value (Rs.)	No. of farmers	No. of villages	No. of clusters
1	Seeds (name variety)	PL-8	12 kg/acre	1680.0	50	15	06

IV. Training programmes organized

Sl. No.	Date	Type of training	Type of Title of training programme		Participant farmers (general)-A			Participant farmers (SC/ST)-B			Total participants (A+B)		
		campus)		Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	
1.	25.11.19	On campus	Agronomics practices of Lentil	15	-	15	05	-	05	20	-	20	
2.	03.12.19	On campus	Agronomics practices of Lentil	13	-	13	07	-	07	20	-	20	

V. Extension activities including field visits organized

Cl. No.	Dete	Name of extension activity		Participant farmer	S	Participant extension personnel			
SI. NO. Date		Name of extension activity	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	
1.	05.12.19	Visit of Demonstration field	20	-	20	02	-	02	
2.	11.01.20	Visit of Demonstration field	20	-	20	03	-	03	
3.	23.02.20	Visit of Demonstration field	20	-	20	03	-	03	

VI. Performance (results) of the demonstrations

(A) General information

Name of the	Demos	Variety		National	State	District	Characteristics of the	Potential	Yield	Yield
crop	(No.)	Check	Demo	average	average	average	demo variety	yield of	gap – I	gap – II
				yield	yield	yield		the demo	(%)	(%)
				(q/ha)	(q/ha)	(q/ha)		variety		
								(q/ha)		
Lentil	25	Local	PL-8	6.33	7.15	10.53	1. Disease resistance.	17.00	12.64	23.90
(Rabi 19-20)							2. One time maturity			

(B) Yield and net returns

	Yield obtained (q/ha)				Yield	Yield Expenditure and returns (Rs./ha)							Net		
	Check			Demo		increase (%)	Check Demo				returns increase				
Max.	Min.	Av.	Max.	Min.	Av.	- (%)	Gross Cost (Rs/ ha)	Gross return (Rs/ ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	Gross Cost (Rs/ ha)	Gross return (Rs/ ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	(%)
12.50	10.20	11.35	14.35	12.15	13.25	16.74	37425	50791	13266	1.35	38290	59293	21003	1.54	58.32

(C) Socio-economic impact parameters

Sl. No.	Parameters	Crop-1	Crop-2	Crop3
1	Name of the crop	Lentil		
2	Variety	PL-8		
3	No. of clusters	09		
4	No. of farmers	25		
5	Total area (ha)	10		
6	Selling price (Rs./q)	4475		

VII. Observations and feed-back

- (a) Observations by Scientist(s) from KVK- Less incidence of disease
- (b) Farmers opinion/feed-back-
 - 1. Maturity stage 125days
 - 2. Low water requirement
 - 3. High yielding variety in comparisons to old variety

VIII. Visitors to cluster FLDs/study tours etc.-

IX. Visitors to cluster FLDs/study tours etc.



Progress Report of CRM

Status of implements

Hap	py Seeder		Paddy	Straw Ch	opper /	Shrub M	laster / Cu	r / Cutter Cum Reversible M.B. Ploug		Plough	Zero Till Seed Cum Fertilizer			
			Shradder / Mulcher		Spreader					Drill				
Physical	Physical	Financal	Physical	Physical	Financal	Physical	Physical	Financial	Physical	Physical	Financial	Physical	Physical	Financal
targets	Ach.	Ach.	targets	Ach.	Ach.	targets	Ach.	Ach.	targets	Ach.	Ach.	targets	Ach.	Ach.
(Nos)	(Nos)	(Rs)	(Nos)	(Nos)	(R s)	(Nos)	(Nos)	(Rs)	(Nos)	(Nos)	(Rs)	(Nos)	(Nos)	(R s)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

IEC Activities Under CRM

Distrie	ct level	Block	k level	Village Pan	Expenditure (Rs.)	
No. of Camps	No. of farmers	No. of Camps	No. of farmers	No. of Camps	No. of farmers	
2	1750	12	739	3	290	25000

Traini	ng courses conducted	(Nos.)	Demonstration conducted				
No. of Programmes	No. of Trainees	Expenditure (Rs.)	No. of	Area	No. of Farmers	Expenditure	
	Participated		Demonstration		Participated		

Mobilization of scl	nools through essay	Mobilization of college through essay competition, painting, debates, etc.					
No. of School mobilized	No. of activities	No. of students participated	Expenditure (Rs.)	No. of School mobilized	No. of activities	No. of students participated	Expenditure (Rs.)
3	3	620	25000	3	2	315	12600

Column / Articles in ne	wspaper and magzines	Publicity material - lea	flets/pamphlets etc.	Particiapted in TV programmes / panel			
etc.		distributed		discussions Doorsarshan/ DD-Kisan and other private channels			
No	Expenditure (Rs.)	No	Expenditure (Rs.)	No	Expenditure (Rs.)		
6	0	5000	14700	2	0		

	Field Days Organized		Advertisemen	nt in Print media	Award for village/Gram Panchayat		
			for achieving ze	ero stubble burning			
No. of field days	No. of FarmersExpenditureparticipated		No.	Expenditure	No.	Expenditure (Rs.)	
3	178	0	1000	26000	5	0	







Programmes under NARI – Jan to Dec, 2019

SUMMARY

TRAINING PROGRAMMES

Clientele	No. of Courses	Female	Total participants
Technology Assessment	01	05	05
FLDs	03	30	30
Training Programmme	20	360	360
	24	395	395


I. TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

Summary of technology assessed

Thematic areas	Сгор	Name of the technology assessed	No. of trials	No. of farmers
Small Scale Income Generation Enterprises & Nutritional Management	Pulses	Value addition of pulse and vegetables – Preparation of badi	10	05

On Farm Trial –1

THEMATIC AREA: Nutritional Management

Problem definition: Nutrient inadequacy

Technology Assessed: Assessment of role of SHG for income generation through preparation from different pulses and vegetable Badi.

Preparation of *Badi* were assessed at different locations in comparison to often in practice. *Badi* with pulses & vegetable + spices was found better in respect of local practice. *Badi* with pulses and vegetable is more nutritional property, tasty, more self life and also add additional income .

Table: Production performance

	No. of	Yield	Increase	Performance	indicators	Cost of	Gross	Net	B:C
Technology Option	trials	(kg)	in yield (%)	Indicator	Performance	cultivation (Rs)	return (Rs)	Profit (Rs)	Ratio
T ₁ - Farmer practice – Preparation of Badi from few pulses	10	1.5		Nutritive value Self life	Rich in protein & minerals Better keeping quality	120	150	30	1:1.25
T ₂ - Preparation of Badi from different type of pulses and vegetables.		1.5	-	Sale opportunity	Income Generating	210	390	180	1:1.8

FEED BACK: Remarkable acceptance of Badi due to easy availability, more nutritional property and help in income generation.







II. Details of FLDs implemented during year 2019

SN	Crop/	Thematic area	Technology Demonstrated	Season / year	Area (ha)	No. of farmers/ demonstration			
	Enterprise					SC/ST	Others	Total	
1	Kitchen garden	House hold food security	Demonstration of well planned Kitchen Garden (100 m ²)	Rabi 2018-19	0.1	3	7	10	
2	Tomato	Value addition	Preparation of Tomato Ketchup	Kharif 2019	0	5	5	10	
3	Tomato	Value addition	Preparation of Tomato Puree	Kharif 2019	0	5	5	10	

FLD on Other Enterprise: Kitchen Gardening

Category and Crop	Thematic area	Name of the technology demonstrated	No. of	No. of	. of Yield (Kg)		%	Economics of demonstration (Rs./ha)				Economics of check (Rs./ha)			
			Farmer	Units	Demo.	Check	in yield	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	BCR (R/C)	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	BCR (R/C)
Kitchen garden	House hold food security	Kitchen gardening	10	10	70	25	180	450	1750	1300	1:3.8	250	500	250	1:2.0



FLD on Women Empowerment

Category and	Themselference	Name of the technology	No. of	No. of	Yield	Economics of demonstration (Rs./ha)					
Crop	i nematic area	demonstrated	Farmer	Units	Demo.	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	BCR (R/C)		
Tomato V	X7 1 A 11 77	Gradational income though Tomato by preparing Ketchup	10	10	3 Kg	140	390	250	2.7		
	value Addition	Gradational income though Tomato by preparing Tomato Puree	10	10	2.5 Kg	80	250	170	3.1		





Technical Feedback on the demonstrated technologies

SN	Crop/ Animal	Feed Back
1	Tomato	Value addition of Tomato through preparation of ketchup & Puri increased gradational income as compared to direct selling of Tomato in
		local market.
2		Under the demonstration on household food security the respondents are getting fresh and potable green seasonal vegetables throughout the
	Kitchen Garden	year. In addition to this, a handsome amount is being saved by using the home produced vegetables. Farm women were very much happy
		by getting plenty of vegetable and fruits.

Farmers' reactions on specific technologies

S. No	Сгор	Feed Back
1	Tomato	Preparation of Ketchup & Puri is easy to prepare and proved a viable technology to get additional income by selling it in nearby market and get better price.
2	Kitchen Garden	Farmers enjoyed the sufficient, chemical free, cheaper and quality green fresh vegetables for almost throughout the year.

III. Training Programme

Farmers' Training including sponsored training programmes (On campus)

		ON CAMPUS									
	No.					Participan	ts				
	of		Others			SC/ST		(Grand Tota	ıl	
Thematic area	es	Male	Male Female Total Male Female Total Male Female Te							Total	
Household food security by											
kitchen gardening and nutrition											
gardening	1	0	2	2	0	18	18	0	20	20	
Minimization of nutrient loss in											
processing	2	0	9	9	0	31	31	0	40	40	
Women & Child care	1	0	9	9	0	11	11	0	20	20	
Total	4	0	20	20	0	60	60	0	80	80	

Off Campus

		Off CAMPUS										
	No.					Participan	ts					
	of		Others		SC/ST			Grand Total				
Thematic area	es	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total		
Household food security by												
kitchen gardening and nutrition												
gardening	2	0	14	14	0	26	26	0	40	40		
Minimization of nutrient loss in												
processing	1	0	18	18	0	02	02	0	20	20		
Design and development of												
low cost diet	1	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	20	20		
Women empowerment	3	0	56	56	0	4	4	0	60	60		
Women and child care	2	0	27	27	0	13	13	0	40	40		
Value addition	1	0	18	18	0	2	2	0	20	20		
Total	10	0	153	153	0	47	47	0	200	200		





Consolidated (On + Off)

Thematic area	No.		Participants								
	of		Others			SC/ST		(Frand To	tal	
	cour	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	
V Home Science/Women	ses										
empowerment											
Household food security											
by kitchen gardening and											
nutrition gardening	3	0	16	16	0	44	44	0	60	60	
Design and development											
of low/minimum cost diet	1	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	20	20	
Minimization of nutrient											
loss in processing	3	0	27	27	0	33	33	0	60	60	
Women empowerment	3	0	56	56	0	4	4	0	60	60	
Women and child care	3	0	36	36	0	24	24	0	60	60	
Value addition	1	0	18	18	0	2	2	0	20	20	
Total	14	0	173	173	0	107	107	0	280	280	



	No. of Courses		No. of Participants										
Area of training			General		SC/ST			Grand Total					
ti anning		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total			
Value addition	2	-	9	9	-	11	11	-	20	20			

Training for Rural Youths including sponsored training programmes (On campus)



Training programmes for Extension Personnel including sponsored training programmes (on campus)

			ON CAMPUS								
Area of Training	No. of		Participants								
	courses		Others SC/ST Grand Total								
		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	
Women and Child care	3	0	35	35	0	10	10	0	45	45	
House hold food security	1	0	12	12	0	3	3	0	15	15	
TOTAL	4	0	47	47	0	13	13	0	60	60	



Other Activities- Awareness Generation

1. Motivating to the Farm Women for Roof top Nutritional garden



Roof top Nutritional garden

2. Value Addition, Mixing and Enrichment through locally available Food Stuff to increase their nutritive value



Bottlegaurd Burffi



Wheat Flour Jave

Establishment of IFS Model

S.No.	Component	Area in ha	% share
I.	CROP PRODUCTION	0.4	40
А.	Wheat	0.3 (Rabi)	
В.	Paddy	0.3 (Kharif)	
с.	Fodder	0.1 (whole year)	
II.	HORTICULTURE:	0.5	50
А.	Vegetable Pea	0.1 (Rabi)	
B.	Marigold	0.1 (Kharif & Rabi)	
с.	Bhindi	0.1(Summer & Kharif)	
d.	Radish, Carrot, Onion, Garlic	On ridges	
е.	Mango Orchards	0.1	
f.	High Density Guava Orchard	0.15	
g.	Nursery	0.05	
III.	Fodder on Bund		
IV.	COMPOSITE FISH FARMING	0.07	7
V.	LIVE-STOCK	0.24	2
А.	Buffallow	02+ 3 no.	
B.	Goats	17+2 no.	
VI	Other units	0.01	1
А.	VermiCompost	01 unit (06 beds)	
В.	NADEP	01 unit	
C.	Bee Keeping	10 boxes	
VII	Layout, Road, Irrigation Channel, Fencing		
TOTAL		1.0	100





AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY INFORMATION CENTRE

Prof. Gaya Prasad, Hon'ble Vice Chancellor, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Modipuram, Meerut inaugurated "Agriculture Technology Information Centre cum Museum" at KVK Meerut. The ATIC established at KVK campus demonstrating the latest sustainable technologies and other farmer's oriented activities based on priority thrust areas covering various aspects of Crop Production, Plant Protection, Animal Husbandry, Horticulture and Home Science by displaying live demos, models, flex charts comprising information on livestock based entrepreneurship development, women empowerment, alternate cropping systems, various tools of bio intensive pest management, protected cultivation of horticultural crops, soil health management and technical literature.



F. Details on ATICs

S. No	Name of the ATIC	Name of the Host Institute	Name of the ATIC Manager
1	KVK, Meerut	SVPUA&T, Meerut	Dr. Omvir Singh

G. Details on Farmer's visit

S. No	Purpose of visit	Number of farmer's visited
01	Technology Information	1721

Facilities in the ATIC which are in operation

S. No	Particulars	Availability (Please √ mark)	Number of ATICs
1	Reception counter		1
2	Exhibition / technology museum	V	
3	Cafeteria		
4	Sales counter		
5	Farmer's feedback register		
6	Others if any (Visitor Register)		

D.2. Publications (Print & Electronic media)

S. No	Particulars	Number sold	Number of farmers benefited
1	Books	2	Mass
2	Technical bulletins	11	Mass
3	TV Talk	09	Mass
4	Radio Talk	03	Mass

Technology Products provided

Particulars	Quantity	Unit of quantity	Value in Rs.	Number of farmers benefited
Seeds	240.0	Quintal	468000.00	-
Planting materials	26500	Numbers	6510.00	54
Livestock	1244	lit. milk	55980	
Bio-products	Honey 12	Kg.	2400	
Vormi Compost	1000	Kg.	3000	

F. Technology services provided

S. No	Particulars	Number of farmers benefited
1	Soil and water testing	3971
2	Plant diagnostics	63









Revolving fund generated

Particulars	Quantity	Unit of quantity	Value in Rs.	Number of farmers benefited
Seeds	240.0	Quintal	468000.00	-
Planting materials	26500	Numbers	6510.00	54
Livestock	1244	lit. milk	55980	
Bio-products	Honey 12	Kg.	2400	
Vormi Compost	1000	Kg.	3000	

Status of revolving fund : Rs. 666000.00 current balance

Celebration of Soil Health Day

Soil Health Day vas been celebrated at the centre on 05, December 2018. On the occasion 72 farmers were benefited by getting soil health cards and total 100 farmers were present in the event.



Sl. No.	Others Receipt & Expenditure			
1100	Head	Grant Sanction	Expenditure	Balance
1	Skill Development Training	330400.00	0	330400.00
2	Crop Residue Management	2965000.00	1587437.00	1377563.00
3	Pre Rabi Campaign (Krishi Unniti mela)	60000.00	34950.00	25050.00
4	Cluster FLD of Pulses	254179.00	74462.00	179717.00
5	Cluster FLD of Oilseeds	119543.00	20584.00	98959.00
	Grand Total	3729122.00	1717433.00	2011689.00

Status of Budget